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SUMMARY

In this study, we detected which means of transportation is beneficial from a travel time perspective in specific districts of Warsaw, Poland. To achieve this goal, we proposed a framework to perform a spatial analysis to describe the AS-IS situation in the city (the state that the situation is in at the present time). The framework contains the following elements: attractiveness analysis, travel time and speed analysis, and potential accessibility analysis. The relationship between the averaged nominal travel speed and the number of residents was also investigated.

The results are presented as maps of travel times, travel speed, and potential accessibility, as well as scatter plots of dependencies between travel speed and number of residents. Unfortunately, public transportation ranks behind car and bike transport in terms of travel time, speed, and potential accessibility. The largest positive influence on effectiveness of traveling by public transportation is the metro and railway system; also, bikes can perfectly complement the public transportation system. The obtained results can be used to indicate directions of changes in the transportation system of Warsaw.
Travel time is one of the most important factors that determine whether or not people use public transit. Presentation of travel time and related topics on a map plays a major role in this determination. The amount of information that can be conveyed via one single map could require several pages of text. Understanding an image presented on a map is easy and natural, that is why availability maps have been developed for a long time (Galton, 1881; Paulin, Wright, 1932).

Together with the development of Geographic Information Systems (GIS), as well as spatial analysis maps started to be the main tool to present and visualize the results of spatial data analysis. Most solutions aimed at improving the quality of transport, including urban transport, are also based on data analysis, including spatial data. Moreover, their results can be presented on maps showing different aspects of transport policy, as well as dependencies between factors affecting transport effectiveness.

In this study, we detected which means of transportation is beneficial from a travel time perspective in specific districts of Warsaw, Poland. To achieve this goal, we proposed a framework to perform a spatial analysis to describe the AS-IS situation in the city (the state that the situation is in at the present time). Our research goal was formulated by the following three research questions:

- Which means of transportation is the most efficient for each location in the city?
- Which districts have the best transportation to the most attractive locations in the city?
- Are there such areas in the city where many people live and using a car is better than using public transport from a travel time perspective?

2. METHODS

The framework contains the following elements: attractiveness analysis, travel time and speed analysis, and potential accessibility analysis. The relationship between the averaged nominal travel speed and the number of residents was also investigated.

We used data from a journey planner, as well as land use and population statistics and employed descriptive analytics. As a study area, Warsaw, the capital of Poland, was selected. For the territory of Warsaw, 601 measurement points were defined on a 1000 meters grid. Our research
(Mościcka, Pokończny, Tomala, 2016), comparing the results obtained for a mesh of 500m, 1000m and 2000m grid density (Fig. 1), confirmed that 1000 m density is enough to obtain travel time with an accuracy of few minutes.

Fig. 1. Visualisation of differences model of the mesh with different density (Mościcka, Pokończny, Tomala, 2016).

For each measurement point, the travel times to the other 600 points were calculated along with the distances and speeds. Travel times, by foot, bike, car, and public transport were determined. The travel times for public transportation and driving by car were measured at 2 a.m., 8 a.m., 12 p.m., 5 p.m., and 9 p.m. The travel times for walking and bicycling were measured only at one moment during the day. Population data were acquired from the Main Statistical Office of Poland in a grid with 1x1 km cells (primary fields). As the source of land use, the database of topographic objects (BDOT) was used.

For each 1x1 km cell, we calculated its destination attractiveness in the form of a coefficient of the destination attractiveness, which can be understood as the potential usefulness of the opportunities located in the travel destination. To designate the destination attractiveness, we used feature classes from the the database of topographic objects (BDOT) data set to select different kinds of places where people can travel. Using statistical data, we determined how many people can go to each of these kinds of places and how often they do so. Based on this, we determined the weights of each BDOT feature class in the range of 0–20 (Table 1).

Table 1. The weights of each BDOT feature class (Mościcka et al., 2019)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Object name</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Stations and terminals</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Offices</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Commercial and service buildings</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Schools and research institutions</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Hospitals and medical care buildings</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Parking lots</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Museums, libraries, and other cultural places</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Primary Field</td>
<td>Points</td>
<td>Shape</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Physical culture buildings</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Hotels</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Industrial buildings</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Religious buildings</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Botanical gardens and zoos</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Residential buildings with three or more flats</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Garages</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Swimming pools, stadiums, and other sports places</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Cemeteries, parks, and garden plots</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Historic buildings</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Tennis courts</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Residential buildings with two flats</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>Point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Single-family residential buildings</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>Point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Play and sports grounds</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>Point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Point</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The coefficient of the destination attractiveness for each cell was calculated using Equation (1) (Pokonieczny, Mościcka, 2018):

\[
CVC_i = \sum_{k=1}^{n} A_k I_{Ak} + \sum_{l=1}^{m} P_l I_{Pl}
\]  

(1)

where:

- \( CVC_i \) - the coefficient of the destination attractiveness of the \( i^{th} \) primary field,
- \( A_k \) - the normalized area of a polygon object (located within the \( i \) primary field) of the \( k \) BDOT polygon feature class,
- \( I_{Ak} \) - the normalized attractiveness of the \( k \) BDOT feature class,
- \( P_l \) - the normalized number of point objects (located within the \( i \) primary field) of the \( m \) BDOT point feature class,
- \( I_{Pl} \) - the normalized attractiveness of the \( m \) BDOT feature class.

Based on the coefficient of the destination attractiveness potential accessibility was calculated for each primary field and for each transportation means with the use of Equation (2) (Komornicki, Świeszynski, Rosik, 2009):

\[
A_i = M_i \exp (-\beta c_{ii}) + \sum_{j=1}^{i} CVC_j \exp (-\beta c_{ij})
\]  

(2)

where:

- \( A_i \) - the accessibility of the \( i^{th} \) primary field,
- \( M_i \) - the number of residents in the \( i^{th} \) primary field,
- \( CVC_j \) - the coefficient of the destination attractiveness of the \( j^{th} \) primary field,
- \( c_{ii} \) - the time of an internal trip within the \( i^{th} \) primary field,
- \( c_{ij} \) - the time of a trip between the \( i^{th} \) and \( j^{th} \) primary fields.
\(c_{ij}\) - the travel time between \(i\) and \(j\) primary fields.

3. RESULTS

The results are presented as maps of travel times, travel speed, and potential accessibility (Fig 2), as well as scatter plots of dependencies between travel speed and number of residents. Differences of potential accessibility between different means of transportation was also analyzed (Fig. 3).

![Potential accessibility maps for car, public transport, bicycle, and walking](image)

Fig. 2. Potential accessibility (Mościcka et al., 2019)
a) bicycle versus public transport, b) car versus public transport

Fig. 3. Differences of potential accessibility between different means of transportation [6]

Unfortunately, public transportation ranks behind car and bike transport in terms of travel time, speed, and potential accessibility. The largest positive influence on effectiveness of traveling by public transportation is the metro and railway system; also, bikes can perfectly complement the public transportation system.

4. SUMMARY

Using the concept of potential accessibility in transport analysis has many advantages. Potential accessibility takes into account the relationship between the land use and the transport components. In addition, it requires less data than methods that consider an individual component (i.e., accessibility measured in time geography or maximization of utility). Potential accessibility is a relatively easy approach in calculations and is often used at both national and international levels, including the European level.
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