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SUMMARY

The Aravah Valley boundary dispute concerned interpretations of the term ‘Center of Wadi Aravah’ which was agreed as a starting point to the boundary negotiations between Jordan and Israel. The first interpretation which was adopted by the Jordanian side regarded the line as the line connecting the lowest points (Thalweg). Their interpretation was supported by several Official British maps drawn during the British Mandate over Palestine. However, there were other official British maps and documents and even Jordanian maps which regarded Wadi Aravah as a wide Valley. In this paper we present our boundary delimitation utilizing the valley centerline interpretation, following the development of a methodology for defining the valley extents. We then show the lines obtained from two different official British maps together with our new line. A special attention to the differences between the different delimitations in the Aravah Divide area is given. Our Analysis to the Aravah divide area shows that with both interpretations the line on one of the official British maps present a distinctive bias towards the west, in favor of the Jordanian interests.
1. INTRODUCTION

The Common Agenda agreed during Washington negotiations (September, 1994) between Israel and Jordan concerning their common boundary states: “Settlement of Territorial matters and agreed definitive delimitation and demarcation of the international boundary between Israel and Jordan with reference to the boundary definition under the Mandate…”. The boundary definition concerning an administrative boundary between Palestine and the Hashemite Kingdom was published by the British High Commissionaire in 1st September 1922, stating that: “The Palestine Order in Council 1922 shall not apply to the territory lying east of a line drawn from a point two miles west of the town of Akabah in the Gulf of Akabah up the centre of the Wadi Aravah, the Dead Sea and the Jordan River ….”. Between 1922 and Israel – Jordan negotiations in 1994, a significant number of interpretations of this definition were presented in different maps (Srebro, 2013a&b; Srebro and Shoshany, 2009). Questions concerning legitimate interpretations and their expression in maps, and in the field, were raised during the negotiations. A dispute emerged between Jordan and Israel regarding the appropriate interpretation of the definition: “…centre of the Wadi Aravah”. The dispute is between the thalweg of the wadi as representing a stream and the center of the wadi as the centerline of a valley. There were two preliminary problems which needed attention. The first was that the British administration had limited familiarity with the Aravah area, its topographic, lithologic and geological structure, and with the terminology: in some documents the area was termed ‘Wadi’ and in others ‘valley’. The second problem was fundamental scientific: the delineation of “valleys” and “wadis” is not well defined and agreed in the literature. During our presentation we will discuss innovative ways for determining the extents of desert valleys, apply them to the Aravah valley and show their centerline versus a line presented in one of the official British maps. Then, we will discuss the implementation of the thalweg approach on the area of the Aravah divide: the area separating the north and south basins.

2. ARAVAH VALLEY: EXTENTS AND CENTERLINE

The fundamental way of delineating valley extents would be based on crest lines: lines separating drainage basins. Map 1 shows the delineation of the Aravah drainage basin. This basin extends widely eastwards and westwards beyond the area relevant for the Aravah valley.
boundary. Furthermore, there are numerous small basins which dissect the crestlines close to the main Aravah drainage line.


Our approach (Shoshany et al, 2009) in delineating the Valley extents is based on the separation between depositional and erosional surfaces. This can be achieved in two ways:

a. Based on geological / geomorphological maps representing the mapping of lithological, rock and soil units.

b. Based on topographic slope analysis in two ways:
   i. The line representing the shift from convex to concave slope profile, where the convex part is representative of the erosional processes and the concave part representative of depositional processes.
ii. The line separating the hilly/mountainous topography and the flat depositional areas at the bottom of the valley. In deserts, alluvial fans with relatively gentle or moderate slopes frequently cover the valley bottom.

Map 2 presents the centerline marked (red dotted line) according to the valley extents determined following the mapping of the valley extents based on the separation of the mountainous and the alluvial fans’ slopes. Map 3 integrates the red dotted line from the slope extents with a line derived from a British map on top of a geological map. A most distinctive shift eastwards is obtained in the central parts of the valley is obtained through application of the new technique.

Map 2: Mapping the Aravah Valley extents: Slope analysis (COPY RIGHT: Maxim Shoshany)
Map 3: Geological Map of the Aravah region with a boundary line from a British Mandate Map and the Valley Centerline. COPYRIGHT Maxim Shoshany
3. ARAVAH DIVIDE: IMPLEMENTATION OF THE DIFFERENT INTERPRETATIONS

Two wadis supply the majority of the high water flows in this area: Wadi Hayun from the west and Wadi Hawar from the east. These two Wadis enter the Aravah valley almost opposite to each other. The sediments deposited between them and a tectonic structure create a topographic barrier between the north and the south basin of the Aravah valley. Map 4 presents a 3-D perspective from south to north towards the Aravah divide showing the north-east route of the line connecting the lowest points. Map 5 presents the line of lowest points delineated by us and two lines from two official British maps showing that the west-east differences between the different versions are most distinctive, indicating that the British map of 1937 represents a western shift: decreasing the area included in the British mandate territory at that time.

Map 4: Boundary lines superimposed on a topographic map in the Aravah Divide.
COPYRIGHT: Maxim Shoshany.
4. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The different lines obtained by implementing the Valley center versus the Thalweg (line of lowest points) were presented to the Jordanians during the Peace negotiations. It is not possible to prove that our scientific analysis made an impact on the Jordanian position during these negotiations. There were many other considerations which could influence His Majesty King Hussain and Prime Minister Itzhak Rabin in their final decision regarding the agreed boundary allocation which was then translated by experts from both sides into the current demarcation. The boundary line agreed finally represents a compromise between the Jordanian territorial claims and the Israeli interests in terms of settlements and agricultural areas.
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