



INTRODUCTION

Land reallocation is the most important and a time-consuming stage of land consolidation studies, as quite many criteria are evaluated at this stage. Conducting land reallocation studies in a way that meets the wishes of farmers and also the principles of equity and justice is crucial in terms of ensuring social peace.

INTRODUCTION

The problem encountered in land consolidation studies can be defined as allocating "n" number of cadastral parcels to "m" number of blocks. To this optimization end. studies based on many mathematical models for the process of land reallocation have been conducted. However, many different solutions have been suggested, since no single accurate mathematical model for the land reallocation process exists. The success of the suggested mathematical models has been indicated to be low, as linguistic statements and human considerations that affect the reallocation could not be embedded in them.

INTRODUCTION

Thus, the fuzzy logic (FL) method can be utilized at the reallocation stage of land consolidation projects, as this method is able to incorporate human experiences that can be expressed linguistic but which are difficult to express mathematically. In engineering and other disciplines, events and systems are defined by using accurate mathematical models. By using these created models, an attempt is made to predict the status or course of action that will be taken by the event or system. However, such mathematical approaches are not well suited to accurately representing variations or expressions inherent in the majority of problems or situations encountered in daily life. The FL approach can be utilized in analyzing and solving such problems.

5

6

INTRODUCTION

In the present study, FL was applied at the reallocation stage of a land consolidation study, for which an accurate mathematical model has not been found. The Konya Ilgin-Agalar district in Turkey was chosen as the project site. Local residents were interviewed to establish their views on land allocation. The results of the interview-based land reallocation model and fuzzy logic-based land reallocation models were compared. Comparison criteria were chosen as: The number of parcels and shares; average size of parcels; average number of parcels per landholding; production times of new parceling plans; the cost of the project; the status of landholdings with their close relatives (partner, father, mother, siblings and other landholdings whose land it uses), and; to what extent do the results comply with the wishes stated in interviews. In addition, a questionnaire was developed to establish farmers' preferred land reallocation model.





Interview-based land reallocation model

The method through which the land reallocation is carried out in accordance with the farmers' preferences during the LC is called an "interviewbased land reallocation model". First, parcels are placed in the blocks according to the first preferences of the landholdings by looking at the interview forms. The surpluses and the shortages in the blocks are corrected regarding the second and/or third choices of the landholdings. Finally, the parcelling procedure is carried out according to the location of the landholdings in the block.

<section-header><section-header><text><text><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item>









Creating the Fuzzy Logic Rule Base :

In this study, there are 3 membership functions for "the distance of the largest parcel", 4 for the "azimuth" and 2 membership functions for the variable of "Is the parcel area of 2nd criterion larger than the largest parcel area?" In addition, there are 2 output variables. A set of 288 rules (3×4×3×4×2=288) were created, considering the numbers of membership function. The 288 rules created in order to receive the results of the fuzzy system are connected with the conjunction [AND]. At this point, the Mamdani inference mechanism is utilized. These created rules were entered in the relevant part of the MATLAB Program Fuzzy Logic Toolbox to create the fuzzy rule base.

15



	nulink block. S	ome of the	data are give	en in Table 3.		lorou
		Table 3. Fu	zzy System	Data		
No	Landholding No	EPM	EPS	SP2PM	SP2PS	1/
1	3	3069.55	59.47	1784.00	0.00	0
2	4	3069.55	59.47	1784.00	0.00	0
3	7	3069.55	59.47	1784.00	0.00	0
4	8	7239.98	47.36	1784.00	0.00	0
5	9	7239.98	47.36	1784.00	0.00	0
6	17	4341.35	64.71	5973.70	69.47	1
7	18	3771.33	66.45	1784.00	0.00	0
8	19	7960.02	60.01	7392.43	57.44	1
9	20	6728.11	81.04	5960.31	51.91	0
10	21	6232.55	53.71	6232.55	53.71	1



FIG Congress 2010 Facing the Challenges – Building the Capacity Sydney, Australia, 11-16 April 2010

Obtaining the Results

Blocks numbered 130, 147, 152, 154, 160, 162, 163, 183 and 184 are extremely full. This is a result of the sizes of landholdings reallocated to blocks. The landholdings that are not completely filled are certainly placed in the same blocks after the first fuzzy land reallocation. The number of landholdings which are certainly placed is 390. However, some of the landholdings in full blocks need to be certainly reallocated. Landholdings with a single parcel and fixed facility can be given as examples. The number of this kind of landholding is 120. Therefore, the number of the certainly reallocated landholdings is 510. According to this, 71.33% (510/715) of the landholdings are successfully placed after the first land reallocation.

19

Obtaining the Results

The land reallocation of the rest of landholdings is made via block balancing. In other words, landholdings in full blocks are placed in empty ones. To achieve this, 4 criteria below are taken into account in landholdings that can not be reallocated:

- Block with largest parcel,
- Block with fixed facility, parcel density or the second largest parcel,
- Block with the third largest parcel,
- Block with same parcel classification.
 Land reallocation is completed using these criteria and parceling of landholdings in blocks is done.

DISCUSSION

Number of Parcels:

The current and previous situations of the parcels belong to the landholdings in terms of the number of parcels in the study area are shown in Table 4.

Table 4. Examination of Models in Terms of Number of Parcel

Parcel size (da)	Cadastral situation	Interview-based model	Fuzzy logic-based mode	
	Number of Parcels	Number of Parcels	Number of Parcels	
0-5	831	140	264	
5-10	436	244	168	
10-20	194	240	133	
20-30	45	79	66	
30+	30	51	81	
Toplam	1536	754	712	

DISCUSSION		
Average Parcel Size:		
Table 5. The examination of the of average parcel sizes.	models in t	erms
Parcel Size	Square	Increase Rate (%)
Previous parcel size	0.6441 ha	-
Parcel size based on the interview-based model	1.2895 ha	100
Parcel size based on the fuzzy logic based model	1.3656 ha	103

DISCUSSION

Duration and Cost of the Land Reallocation:

The cost of interview studies for Agalar village consolidation field was \$26500, based on the commercial rates of the Chamber of Cadastral Map Engineers. Since the fuzzy logic based land reallocation model would not require an interview study, the project cost would be \$26500 less. While 45 days were previously required for the preparation of the necessary data for land reallocation, in the fuzzy logic based land reallocation model, it was completed in a 25-dayperiod, thereby saving 20 days. The economic value of this saving is around \$7650. In total, a 3-monthtime saving could obtained for the Agalar village consolidation project.

23

basis	of interview of landholdi	-based and f ngs:	uzzy logic-base	d models on th
The propor 75.10 % compared possible, consolidate successful the intervie	tion of landh in the intervi- to 94.94% andholdings on. The fuzzy in terms of c w-based land	oldings with o view-based n in the fuzzy are combin y logic based combining land d reallocation	ne parcel is 37.7 nodel after land logic based mi led in one pa land reallocatio dholdings within model.	76%. This rate d consolidation odel. As far a arcel after lar n model is mon one parcel tha
	Table 6. Eva	luations on the	basis of landholdi	ngs
Landholding No	Table 6. Eval	Number of cadastral parcels	basis of landholdin New parcel numbers after the interview- based model	New parcel numbers after the fuzzy logic - based model
Landholding No 24	Surname, name Akgöl Mevlüt	Number of cadastral parcels	basis of landholdin New parcel numbers after the interview- based model 2	New parcel numbers after the fuzzy logic - based model
Landholding No 24 70	Table 6. Eval Surname, name Akgöl Mevlüt Arı Ethem	Number of cadastral parcels 4 3	basis of landholdin New parcel numbers after the interview- based model 2 2	New parcel numbers after the fuzzy logic - based model
Landholding No 24 70 74	Surname, name Akgöl Mevlüt Arı Ethem Arık Mustafa	Luations on the Number of cadastral parcels 4 3 5	basis of landholdin New parcel numbers after the interview- based model 2 2 2 3	New parcel numbers after the fuzzy logic - based model 1 1 1 1
Landholding No 24 70 74 80	Surname, name Akgöl Mevlüt Arı Ethem Arık Mustafa Arslan Güler	Auations on the Number of cadastral parcels 4 3 5 2	basis of landholdin New parcel numbers after the interview- based model 2 2 3 2 3 2	New parcel numbers after the fuzzy logic - based model 1 1 1 1 1





CONCLUSION

As a result of these comparisons, it has been concluded that the fuzzy logic based model was more successful in terms of <u>number of</u> <u>parcels</u>, <u>average parcel size</u>, <u>average</u> <u>number of parcels</u> per landholding, <u>duration</u> <u>of land reallocation</u> process, project cost and farmer satisfaction, whereas the interviewbased land reallocation model proved to be more applicable in meeting the conditions set by the landholdings concerning their relatives and other landholdings.

27

28

CONCLUSION

In the fuzzy logic based land reallocation model developed in the present study, more than half of the landholdings are given from the blocks with new parcels based on the interview-based reallocation model. When the interviews conducted with farmers to perform the interview-based land reallocation are analyzed, the fuzzy logic based land reallocation method gave quite successful results in fulfilling the requests of the landholdings. This is important, since farmer satisfaction is among the objectives of land consolidation.

CONCLUSION

According to the results of the interviews conducted with the farmers, it appeared that they are much happier with the outcome of the fuzzy logic based land reallocation model than that of the interview-based land reallocation model. Considering the fact that farmer satisfaction is important in land consolidation projects, the fuzzy logic based land reallocation model is judged to have been successful.

29

<section-header><text><text>