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The topics are ...

- In European level INSPIRE
  - Experiences, similarities, differences
  - Forecast: How to implement?
- In international level ISO/LADM
  - How to model right-of-use unit?
- Case FINLAND
INSPIRE shortly

- INSPIRE theme Cadastral Parcels has introduced a model for cadastral spatial data
- Purpose is to use it as reference data
- Ownership and rights related to parcels are outside the scope of INSPIRE

ISO/LADM shortly

- ISO work (19152) where the objective is to provide an international standard for the information used in the land administration domain
- Tries to provide a terminology for land administration, to provide a basis for the development of systems and to enable communication by using a jointly shared vocabulary
- Conceptual schema which consists of object which model parties, basic administrative units and spatial units and rights, responsibilities and restrictions
- Party data, address data, valuation data, land use data, archive data and taxation data are outside the scope
Backgrounds of Finnish cadastre shortly

- In Finland all cadastral information - both map and attribute data - are registered in one integral object-oriented database (started 1998, renewed 2005) managed by the National Land Survey
- The main objects in the cadastre include basic property units and right-of-use units with the geometry related to them. Basic property unit is the basic unit of registration and it can consist of none, one or many parcels
- Since the beginning of 2010 the National Land Survey has been responsible for registering titles and mortgages

The first topic

Experiences

In European level INSPIRE

Forecast: How to implement?

Case FINLAND
Implementing the INSPIRE Cadastral Parcels model in Finland

- Implementation work should start this year
- This forecast is based on experiences of work in thematic working group and in commenting work

Viewpoint of data provider shown with models

Concept of basic property unit, parcel and boundary in the Finnish cadastre (information service model)

The INSPIRE Cadastral Parcels model

E.g. unique identifier, legal area and registration date are the reasons to have object basic property unit in INSPIRE model
...and with words

Cadastral Parcel
- The core object in INSPIRE Cadastral Parcels model
- Mandatory or available attributes in Finland
  1. INSPIRE identifier
  2. National cadastral reference
  3. Geometry (parcel outlines as polygon)
  4. Label
  5. Reference point
  6. Begin lifespan (insert or change date)
  7. Association to basic property unit

Basic Property Unit
- To be used by countries where unique cadastral identifiers are given only for basic property units and not for parcels
- Mandatory or available attributes in Finland
  1. INSPIRE identifier
  2. National cadastral reference
  3. Area value (total area)
  4. Valid from (date of registration)
  5. Association to administrative unit

Cadastral Boundary
- For metadata (e.g. estimated accuracy)
- Estimated accuracy is recorded only for cadastral points in Finland

Cadastral Zoning
- For portrayal, for searches, for metadata (e.g. estimated accuracy)
- No zoning within scope of INSPIRE is used in Finland

All in one sentence

Transferring data of valid parcels and the basic property units related to them
Experiences - INSPIRE

- In reality transfer file format, so called product (with rules how to make information service)
- The INSPIRE Cadastral Parcels model itself seems to be quite simple
- Transformation rules from national database structure to INSPIRE model structure including all exceptional cases can be complicated
- A lot of work was required to compile the terminology. It tries to be suitable for all countries
- If INSPIRE product does not fulfil national use cases, it means extra work and could therefore cause more costs than benefits in the short run
The second topic

Experiences

In international level
ISO/LADM

How to model right-of-use unit?

Case
FINLAND

Concept of right-of-use unit in Finland

- Obligatory way to register rights and restrictions
  - Object which is mentioned in cadastral acts
  - Experiences since 1998, both users and developers have considered this concept very successful
- Units with unique identifiers in the whole country
- Different types are defined in acts. Types are as code lists, homogenous types in the whole country
  - Private roads, wells, landing places for boats, building easements, fishing restrictions, protected areas, mining rights etc.
- Own lifecycle and own attributes
  - Associations to geometry and to right holders and restriction objects are done via sub-units
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Right-of-use sub-unit

- Sub-units have associations to geometry and to right holders and restriction objects
  - Right-of-use unit has at least one sub-unit with or without geometry
  - Sub-units enable flexible structure
    - Land surveyor decides in the legal survey how many sub-units are needed
    - For every geometry type
    - One geometry can be divided into several geometries to associate certain bunch of right holders
    - Different attributes (validity period, width of road etc.)

- Own lifecycle and own attributes
Right holder

- Legal dependency to right holder is done by an association
  - Usually cadastral units
  - Sometimes juridical persons (e.g. municipality or energy company)
- Own lifecycle and own attributes
  - Possible to maintain the information for each right holder without any changes to the sub-unit and possible to keep history of changes
Restriction object

- Legal dependency to restriction object is done by an association
  - Restricted object is always cadastral unit
- Own lifecycle and own attributes
  - Possible to maintain the information for each association without any changes to the sub-unit

- Geometry overlay is used during the registration to help recognizing the restriction objects
  - On-the-fly geometry overlay can't be used
    - Does not always provide legally correct information, e.g. locations are not always registered with the same accuracy
    - Provides only temporary information, not lifecycle of the relationship
    - Not for legal rights with liability of damages by incorrect information

Protected area as right-of-use unit

Information of the right-of-use unit, as shown for consumers
- Identifier: 000-2005-K3874
- Type: Nature reserve
- Authority: North Karelian local environmental centre
- Decision date: 2004-11-02
- Archive reference: PRA-2004-L-382 (251)

Sub-unit 1
- Date of registration: 2005-03-17
- Restriction object: 146-406-1-44 Koivula

Sub-unit 2
- Date of registration: 2005-03-17
- Restriction object: 146-406-1-44 Koivula

Protected area
- Not the same accuracy

Sydney, Australia, 11-16 April 2010
Model of right-of-use unit + LADM = Pre-analysis

LADM model, version 2009-12-07, the basis for the pre-analysis of the right-of-use unit
Model of right-of-use unit + LADM = Pre-analysis

Result looked like this, the classes for right-of-use unit have been classified under LADM classes in this picture.

But as one class (Required relationship, basic administrative unit) is now missing from the newest LADM model, this pre-analysis is not relevant anymore.

To summarize: LADM supports the idea of administrative units with unique identifiers. It does not directly support legally registered relationships between different type of administrative units but favours geometry overlay.

Experiences - LADM

- The model has been changed quite a lot between meetings
  - A lot of comments – indicate difficulty of modelling?
  - The model would benefit from some reviews to reach a more stable result
- Land administration as a domain is related to legal aspects which limits the freedom of modelling
- LADM is a conceptual model and therefore it can be seen in a positive way and accepted more easily than the INSPIRE model
- The present stage of LADM work shows that a common model is possible. Then again several country profiles can prove the opposite.
The third topic

Experiences, similarities, differences

- The scope of INSPIRE is narrow (spatial cadastral data)
- INSPIRE is a model for data product specification and tries to fulfil INSPIRE use case
- INSPIRE model is a basis of the automatic production of transfer file format -> model must be exact
- The scope of LADM is wider (land administration domain)
- LADM is a conceptual model and tries to be a basis for common understanding
- LADM can be general with few objects for many purposes
Experiences

“Dream work”
To participate in international work so fully as to create concrete models has been unique and interesting experience. It has also brought along new views to existing system that has been very useful.

“To have an axe to grind”
To be objective in the work is challenging because it is not so easy to break away from the national point of view. It is only natural since the national system is the best known for everyone.

“Money, money, money”
Practically it might be challenging to find motivation and especially resources to adjust national systems to international models or even just to make the harmonised transfer files.

“Future?”

For more details see the paper!
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