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White Paper: Open Source Software

- Software is strategic resource:
  - IT is not just a "commodity" that satisfies functional specifications;
  - software is **know-how** and needs conscious care and maintenance.
- What is Open Source Software?
  - source code is openly available;
  - software can be copied, disseminated and used at random;
  - software can be adapted and passed on.
- Examples:
  - in office environment: Linux, OpenOffice.org, Mozilla Firefox, etc.
  - in database management: PostgreSQL, PostGIS, MySQL, etc.
  - in GIS: GRASS, Quantum GIS, uDIG, GvSIG, OpenJUMP, ILWIS, TerraView, etc.
  - in web applications: Joomla, CartoWeb, MapBender, etc.

White Paper: Advantages of OSS

1. long-term cost savings
   - independence from a specific producer puts software client in a better position
   - cost savings of up to 90% in first year
2. protection of investment
   - proprietary solutions create direct dependency on the producer
3. stimulation of innovation and economy
   - local producers can participate in value creation chain
   - benefits for local economy as well as local innovation potential
White Paper: Advantages of OSS

4. security and transparency
   - due to open source code, errors and security holes can be better detected and quicker eliminated
   - distributed quality control

5. equal opportunities
   - educational institutions, public administration, financially disadvantaged regions can benefit

White Paper: Factors that constrain the spreading of OSS

- too strong dependency on existing solutions
- low publicity of OSS
White Paper: Prejudices

- There is no professional support!
  - not true, many ICT companies have long standing experience in OSS solutions.
- Open source products are not suitable for mission critical applications!
  - not true, Linux and Apache Server are in service for many years.
  - large user community is very efficient for testing.
- Legal situation is not clear!
  - not true, OSS is only published with a clear licence certified by Open Source Initiative (OSI).
- Open Source Software is free of cost!
  - not true, development, maintenance and support cost as much as for commercial products.

FLOSS-Cadastre Project by FAO
(in cooperation with World Bank and FIG-Commission 7)

Reason for project: several projects in developing countries failed, mainly because of high licence costs.

Aim of project: explore the field and initiate the development for a Cadastre and Land Registration OSS platform.

Phases of project:
1. Exploratory phase → scoping paper by G. Pieper in 2007 (see presentation at FIG-WW 2008)
2. Input from potential users → Conference in Dunedin, NZL in May 2008
3. Developing modules → OSCAR (see presentation by Hay and Hall)
4. Country case studies → to get first experiences
5. Getting recognition as an official Open Source project → e.g. OSGeo
New Publication
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Experiences and Perspectives with FLOSS

Strengths:
- FLOSS allows cost effective solutions with high potential of added value;
- further developments benefit all;
- no limitations in terms of scalability.

Challenges:
- more difficult and different challenges for the users, they have to master the technology (including the source code and documentation);
- users have to initiate further developments and – if a module does not exist yet – to pay for it.

Perspectives:
- consolidation (architecture, code, user interface);
- further developments according to user needs.

FLOSS vs COTS

It is crucial for both FLOSS and COTS:
- to have local support available;
- to have education and training possibilities established;
- to have a national contact person or institution in place to:
  - open the access to the international FLOSS community
  - make translations from and to English
  - establish documentation in the national language
  - organize and support trainings

Differences:
- license fees;
- flexibility and scalability;
- users have to formulate their needs and commission their realization

Commonalities:
- requirement analysis;
- system specification;
- technical and management capacity;

POTENTIAL BENEFIT for developing countries: know-how is being established locally and remains there.
Open Source Software – An FIG Perspective

FLOSS is an issue of today’s life, we cannot keep our eyes closed.

But:
• FIG needs to provide an unbiased view;
• FIG is not into promoting or favouring FLOSS against other software.

FIG-Commission 7, Working Group 3 has prepared a publication for the XXIV FIG Congress.

FLOSS: A Viable Alternative?

FLOSS in Cadastre and Land Registration

Thank you for your attention!!!
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