

from disaster

The 2011 Great East Japan Earthquake and Tsunami - What we did and what we learned -

Hiroshi Murakami Deputy Administrator Geospatial Information Authority of Japan



Platinum Partners:

Diamond Partner





esri

Outline

- 1. Great East Japan Earthquake in 2011
- 2. Immediate Response
- 3. Recovery/Reconstruction
- 4. Improved Preparedness
- 5. Kumamoto Earthquakes (14-16 April 2016)
- 6. Summary (What we learned)

Great East Japan Earthquake in 2011



Disaster caused by the Great East Japan Earthquake on 11 March 2011

- Earthquake:
 - Mw: 9.0 (Epicenter: 70km east of Sendai)
 - Co-seismic crustal deformation (max):
 5.3m (horizontal), -1.2m (vertical)
- Tsunami:
 - Highest elevation reached: 43m
 - Inundated areas: 561 square km

(approx. 10 times the Manhattan Island in New York)

- Nuclear meltdown:
 - 3 reactors in Fukushima





Disaster caused by the Great East Japan Earthquake on 11 March 2011

- Casualties (as of 10 March 2016)
 - Death: 15,894 (>90% by Tsunami)
 - Missing: 2,561
 - Injured: 6,152
- Liquefaction (as of 27 September 2011)
 - Damaged houses: 26,914
- Economy
 - Estimated economic loss: > 200B US\$

Immediate Response



What we did after the Earthquake

- Detection of co-seismic crustal deformation using CORS network
- Aerial survey (Aerial photos + Ortho images)
- Photo interpretation (Inundated areas)

Co-seismic Crustal Deformation



CORS Network in Japan 1,300 stations (Ave. 20km interval)





Co-seismic Crustal Deformation



Aerial Survey



Aerial Survey



Photo Interpretation (Inundated Areas)



Recovery/Reconstruction



Resurveying of Control Points

- The deformation caused by the earthquake was too large to start surveying for reconstruction without revising the control points coordinates.
- Two questions:
 - When should the resurveying be conducted?
 - Large post-seismic deformation required a careful analysis to find the right time for revising the datum.
 - Which control points' coordinates are to be revised?
 - The extent of deformed areas were to be identified before surveying.

When the revision should be conducted?

Logarithmic function is adopted to estimate the future trend of post-seismic deformation by using CORS data.

$$y(t) = c + a \ln\left(1 + \frac{t}{\tau_{\log}}\right)$$

(c, a:constant, τ_{log} : constant(time), t: time)

The <u>end of May</u> was set as the date for fixing the coordinates given that the deformation trend is estimated to become moderate after that.



Estimated deformation at "Yamada" (#950167) Station

Areal Extent of New Datum

The area where estimated strain was over 2ppm was identified for revision.



Coordinate Differences

Coordinate differences between old and new datums derived from CORS.



Areas Excluded from Applying Transformations with Parameters

- Large aftershocks made some areas unsuitable for applying coordinate transformations with parameters.
- InSAR analysis was employed ^a to quickly identify the extent of the areas that should be excluded from the coordinate transformations.





Correction Parameters for control points



Reconstruction Planning Map





Improved Preparedness



Digital Archives of Maps and Photos



Map Revision



Number of Municipalities Conducting Cadastral Survey



Year

* The data of end of 2014 and end of 2015 are estimated values

Completed Cadastre Facilitates Reconstruction



Real-time Analysis of CORS Network for More Accurate Tsunami Warning



- Initial tsunami warning (up to 6m in wave height) issued by the
 Meteorological Agency was too low
 due to the saturated seismic wave
 data by seismometers.
 - Real height was **up to 15m**.
 - Highest elevation reached by tsunami was 43m.
- Real-time analysis of CORS network to estimate the magnitude of large earthquakes can estimate tsunami wave height without saturation in a few minutes.



Real-time CORS Analysis of Kumamoto Earthquakes



Real-time CORS Analysis of Kumamoto Earthquakes



Kumamoto Earthquakes (14-16 April 2016)





CORS Data Analysis/Modeling and ALOS-2 InSAR Analysis



InSAR (ALOS-2) Analysis in 2.5 Dimensions



- InSAR data from two different directions were used to estimate near vertical deformation.
- The result was compatible with GNSS survey on the ground.

Summary (What we learned)



Summary: What We Learned

- **CORS network** has crucial roles in:
 - Assessing the magnitude and extent of co-seismic and post-seismic deformation for efficient resurveying and modeling of the earthquake, particularly when combined with InSAR analyses.
 - Providing accurate control for aerial surveys.
 - Estimating the magnitude of large earthquakes and tsunami wave height near real-time.



Summary: What We Learned

- Cooperation with private aerial survey companies provides emergency aerial survey activities in large-scale disasters.
- Digital archives of past aerial photos and maps help quickly assess the magnitude and extent of disaster damage.
- Maps need to be updated, particularly for transport information, to facilitate emergency responses.
- Completed cadastre helps streamline postdisaster reconstruction processes.



from disaster

Thank you.



Platinum Partners:

Land Information

Diamond Partner



