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On 29th of May 2006 it happens the disaster of overflowing and blast of hot mud inside which cover area of 621.9 ha, 11.76 km around and there are 12 villages were covered by hot mud.

The disaster is an overflowing and blast of hot mud at district of Sidoarjo since May 29th, 2006 that has been suffusing the land for more than 621.9 ha and 11.76 km in perimeter. Centroid coordinate of the mud blast is at 112.71° E and 7.52° S inside the area of petroleum oil drilling which covering 5 villages at SubD Porong (Glagaharum, Renokenongo, Mindi, Siring, Jatirejo), 4 villages at SubD Tanggulangin (Ketapang, Kalitengah, Gempolsari, Kedungbendo) and 3 villages at SubD Jabon (Kedungcangkring, Pejarakan, Besuki).

The disaster of overflowing and blast of hot mud gave the negative influence to the situation surrounding and also influenced land value over the land market reaction.

Disaster Land area Case study at: Sub-district of PORONG, TANGGULANGIN, JABON
Background

- *Land and building Tax* (PBB) is an objective tax that its assessment based on tax object condition.

- To assess the PBB, there is so we called NJOP (Sale Value of Tax object) that should determine and classified first through valuation procedure according to Tax office regulation No.533/PJ/2000.

- In the regulation mentioned that before the valuation process there is an activity called *Land Value Zone* (ZNT) concept.

- *Land Value Zone (ZNT)* is an imaginer zone consists of classes of land parcel that have similar value.
Background

• The land valuation use the sales comparison method, that in Regulation of KEP-533 is not clearly stated what variable should be used in the method to predict land value and how much the adjustment level for every variable that analyzed.
• The variable and adjustment level that selected to analyze land value in one location should be based on the reality of data and actual fact in location, because of it there is no standard variable and adjustment level for all kind location.
• Practically, the forming of ZNT concept only based on appraiser intuition and prediction.
• This kind of method can make the land value results tends to be subjective matter, and also for near disaster-potential area such as Sidoarjo Mud Disaster.

---

Background

• the problem is become more complex because to predict this disaster area land value, where it should be considered the disaster factor. Because of this disaster, the land value pattern has changed so the sales comparison approaches method that commonly used no longer accurate.
• The valuation at near disaster-potential area should be revised quickly so the Land and Building Tax assessment still on time and valid.
• This process will also affect other activities of Valuation such as Sale Value of Tax Object (NJOP) as a base of calculation for the land transfer tax and compensation.
Method

- The purpose of the research is to obtain more objective land classification at near disaster-potential area through spatial analysis, Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP) method, and multiple regression statistic analysis.
- Land class is determined by calculate each parcel land value, then classified based on class range rule, and the next step is forming of LVZ (ZNT) map.
- Land value modeling is conducted to obtain land value of each parcel by using dependent variable (Y), which is land market price and independent variables (X), which is parcel quality level.
- Independent variable (X) is a synthesis of weight value of each factor that influence land value and parcel quality level score.

Method

- The factors that influence land value in this research is determined from literature review, which are: distance of parcel to CBD, distance to main road, distance to disaster location, distance to infrastructure relocation, and land use type.
- Determination of weight score of each factors and determination of land value score on land use criteria is using the AHP method.
- Determination of land parcel in all criteria of distance is using spatial analysis.
- Land value modeling using multiple regression method obtaining four land value formulation model, that will be selected the best one to predict land value of every parcel.
- To determine the land value for PBB, the land value prediction result is classified and use dissolve operation to form the LVZ map.
Results

- The result of the study is a LVZ map for near disaster-potential area (Sub-district Porong, Jabon and Tanggulangin, within District Sidoarjo) that can be used as a comparison of land value for PBB resulted by procedure according to Regulation KEP-533/PJ/2000.

- From this land value determination then can be calculated the implication for Land & Building Taxation (PBB) assessment.
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Comparison of LVZ Estimation with LVZ Existing (Tax Office)

Average of LVZ estimation above LVZ Tax Office still follow the result of previous year of LVZ and the reclassification was not done yet and also the readjustment to the land prices after disaster.

Comparison of LVZ pattern to the Existing LVZ by isoline value of land

Generally LVZ existing is less than LVZ research.
Analysis of Factors influence to LVZ existing and LVZ research
### Analysis of Advantage and Weakness of the LVZ research n LVZ existing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Advantage</th>
<th>Weakness</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Land Value Zone classes by research analysis</td>
<td>More objective, because the determination each parcel of value based on the computer system such as spatial analysis, AHP method and regression analysis can be more objective.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVZ classes by Existing</td>
<td>Considering the common context, it can reduce the taxation conflicts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tends to be subjective, LV determination is done manually and there is some generalisation.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Overcoming the weakness → need to do some re-evaluation of LV (Land value) for identifying sources of errors which is not proper in common contexts.

LVZ existing → LVZ pattern tends to make a group that based land use and land parcels which located near road network.

LVZ research → LVZ pattern tends to make a random plots as the results of computer calculation system.
CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

CONCLUSION:

• Land value determination for the forming of Land Value Zone for PBB at near disaster-potential area can be obtained by using the combination of spatial analysis, AHP, and multiple regression approach.
• LVZ obtained from this study for sub-district Porong, Jabon and Tanggulangin within District of Sidoarjo area can be used as a comparison for current LVZ class of PBB.
• Land value classification resulted from regression model is more various than current land value class. It is because the land value class from regression model is calculated automatically from computerized system, different with current land value class that tends to generalized because it is made from manual concept.
• The process and method of valuation and LVZ forming can be an alternative to determine the land value Zone for the Land and Building Tax office.
• Land value zone classification resulted from analysis is more objective, because the quantitative data obtained from spatial analysis and the qualitative data is processed by AHP technique.
• LVZ map from this study still have to reevaluate because the land value predicted still does not reflect to the real condition (the value is under assessment). It will be important to create fairness in taxation and prevent some conflicts in implementation of Land and Building Taxation.

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

Recommendation:

• The process and methods studied can become a recommendation for Land and Building Tax office in analyzing the land value zone.
• In this study still there is a weakness in model. The model passed some tests, but in model evaluation still it is not fulfill the accuracy level and homogeneity requirements (COV=24.92% and PRD=1.0432). This value result is predicted because the capability of model to explain the research condition is low ($R^2 = 58.71\%$) which means there is some other variables not included in the analysis that also have significant effect to explain the NJOP (Sale Value of Tax object) value variation. Besides, data transaction from PPAT/public notary may give bias to the model equation.
• Based on this condition, fur further study can be suggested:
  1. PPAT/public notary data transaction should be check and confirmed first to reach a valid land value data.
  2. The independent variables should be added to gain better model.
• Spatial analysis for distance measurement from its centroid should be restudied and use other alternative to get more valid distance measurement.
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