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SUMMARY

Modern deformation monitoring systems, such as laser scanner or image based tacheometer are producing a vast number of object points. One of the main problems concerning the analysis and interpretation of high density deformation measurements is the visualization of the data respectively of the underlying deformation. Most existing geodetic deformation visualization techniques are based on plotted displacement vectors or on a colour coded representation. A visualization of displacement vectors is unsuitable for high density point clouds; colour coded representation is more appropriate with some limitations regarding the interpretation (e.g. direction of movements or deformations can represented restricted only). In this paper a novel technique for the visualization of deformation data is presented – we call this technique exaggerated visualization. The exaggeration of the deformation accentuates subtle deviations and supports the viewer in correctly interpreting of the underlying deformation. The paper at hand presents the new method for the visualization of deformation data, as well as some examples.
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1. INTRODUCTION

For monitoring an object subject to deformation the object and its surrounding have to be modelled. The continuum needs to be represented by discrete points in such a way that the points characterize the object, and that the movements of the discrete points represent the movement and distortion of the object (Scheickl et al. 2006). Modelling the actual deformation of an object requires observing the characteristic points in certain time intervals by means of a suitable measurement system in order to properly monitor the temporal course of the movements.

Up-to-date sensor systems for deformation monitoring comprise e.g. GNNS, theodolites, tacheometers, laser scanners, photogrammetric cameras and/or geotechnical devices (Reiterer et al. 2009, Scheickl et al. 2006, Schultz 2005). Newest developments follow the trend to capture point clouds of high density to represent object deformations. The analysis of high density point clouds is challenging due to the vast number of data points.

This paper concentrates on the visualization of deformation data based on high-density point clouds using a totally new approach which we call exaggerated visualization. The basic idea of these visualization techniques is guided by the workflow of a caricaturist. A caricaturist has a reference model in his mind (e.g. the “ideal/normal” human face) and draws a caricature of a specimen deviating from the norm (e.g. a man with a large nose). The caricature is a result of the exaggerated depiction of the deviations of the specimen from the reference model (e.g. a picture of the man with an even larger nose). In Figure 1a the head of Michelangelo’s David statue is shown as an idealized model among the subjects of interest. In Figure 1b a specimen is shown (Albert Einstein). In Figure 1c a hand drawn caricature of the specimen is shown. A caricature requires the existence of a reference model.

![Figure 1: Example of a non automatic caricature drawing (Photograph Einstein: ©Halsman Philippe; Caricature Einstein: © John Pritchett).](image)
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Like in traditional caricature, the exaggerated visualization technique takes a reference model and a deviating specimen and depicts the deviations in an exaggerated way. In the case of deformation data the reference model is a measurement of the object of interest; a specimen is the consecutive epoch. The caricaturistic visualization of such a specimen depicts the deformation of the object of interest in an exaggerated way. A simplified scheme of the processing sequence is shown in Figure 2 – the data (point cloud, images, etc.) are captured in the data acquisition stage and then processed to derive intermediate data structures that are necessary for the visualization process (data processing may involve triangulation, resampling, etc. of multi-epoch point clouds). The user can interactively manipulate the visualization parameters to achieve the desired visualizations and to gain insight into the data.

**Figure 2:** Simplified pipeline of the exaggerated visualization system for deformation data based on high density point clouds.

The remainder of this paper is structured as follows: First of all, the state of the art of deformation visualization is presented; the main part of the paper focuses on the new visualization method, the mathematical formulations and on examples.

## 2. EXISTING GEODETIC DEFORMATION VISUALIZATION TECHNIQUES

The main task of geodetic object monitoring is the determination of the deformation of objects and of rigid body movements. To detect these, deformation analysis techniques are used. In the simplest case measurements taken at two different points in time (two epochs) are compared. More details about deformation analysis can be found in (Pelzer 1985, Teskey 1985).

Most existing geodetic deformation visualization techniques are based on plotted displacement vectors (i.e. connected corresponding points from two measurement epochs) – see Figure 3a. This is a suitable method for point clouds of low density. For high density point clouds the plotting becomes cluttered and the user may get a confusing impression about the existing movements and deformations. The orientation and the dimension of movement vectors in 3D are even more difficult to interpret.

Colour coding (see Figure 3b) is another method for the visualization of deformations. Pre-defined intervals of “displacement magnitudes” are represented by selected colours. This form of geodetic deformation visualization is more suited for complex objects and high density point clouds. However, colour coding fails to represent the direction of the movements.
3. EXAGGERATED VISUALIZATION

Caricatures are pieces of art depicting persons or sociological conditions in a manner which exaggerates one or more particular features of the subject. In both cases a caricature refers to a reference model. The deviations from the reference model are the characteristic features of the depicted subject. The goal of traditional caricature is the entertainment of the beholder. Exaggerated visualization follows similar principles as traditional caricature but with a different goal. Exaggerated visualization aims to highlight the characteristics of a subject.

In Figure 4 an illustration of the concepts of exaggerated visualization (i.e., caricaturization, anti-caricaturization and stylization) is shown. The opposite of caricaturization is anti-caricaturization, i.e. the exaggeration of the deviations of the reference model from the specimen. In the lower row of Figure 4 a simple shape is used to illustrate caricaturization and anti-caricaturization. In the upper row of Figure 4 stylized visual representations of the shapes of the lower row are shown. The more stylized versions of the shapes are sparse representations and can therefore be used as overlays for the original image.

For objects in general it was reported (Dwyer 1967, Ryan & Schwartz 1956) that stylized, accentuated drawings are more easily identified. They aid learning more than photographs of the same objects. The work dealing with illustrative volume visualization focuses on imitating traditional illustration techniques. High level abstraction techniques as presented in the work of Viola et al. (2004, 2005) and Svakhine et al. (2005) control the appearance of different features at varying degrees of sparseness and complexity.

An illustrative visualization approach for time varying data was presented by Joshi et al. (2005). Weigle and Taylor (2005) present a related technique for the comparison of different datasets. They investigate visualization techniques for intersecting surfaces and compare the performance of existing techniques and a novel glyph based approach. Liu et al. (2005) present an approach to make subtle motions in video scenes clearly visible. The motions are accentuated by exaggerating the motion of objects in the video.

Generally, caricatures have many properties that are desirable to achieve advanced visualizations:

- **Focus and context techniques** provide the user with detailed information at the focus of interest while the context is still present. Well done caricatures accent the characteristics and salient details while sparsely sketching the context. The focus in caricatures is on the characteristics of the depicted object which are often the details of interest.

- **Effective communication of visual content** is a desired property commonly achieved by choosing suitable visual representations. Caricatures are expressive depictions of the content of interest simultaneously avoiding the depiction of details which are not of immediate interest. Therefore caricatures are well suited for the communication of visual content.

- **Augmentation of images** aids the viewer in correctly interpreting the image. The augmentation is descriptive visual information sparsely overlaid but not occluding the image. Therefore sparse visual representations are necessary to augment images. Caricatures are often line drawings which are extremely sparse representations and are therefore suitable for the augmentation of images.
- **Steering attention** to regions of interest is commonly done by visual cues. Caricatures provide intensive cues toward the details of interest. Highly exaggerated regions attract the user’s attention. In contrast photorealistic rendering often fails to direct the attention to relevant details. Therefore caricaturistic visualization is especially suitable for datasets where deviations from a reference model are of interest.

Caricaturists identify features and exaggerate certain properties of these features such as spatial extent, displacement, or angularity. We want to exaggerate the deviations of an object from the corresponding reference model (difference between measurement epochs). Therefore we analyze the difference between the model and the object for each property.

For each property we define a difference function over the domain of the property. The domain of property $i$ is denoted as $P_i$, and the difference function is denoted as $\Theta_i$.

A feature describes the characteristics of the specimen with respect to the reference model. A feature is therefore defined as a property vector $P$:

$$P = P_1 \times P_2 \times \ldots \times P_{n-1} \times P_n$$

We define an exaggeration function for each property of the feature. This function describes the behavior of a feature as its properties are exaggerated. It is desirable that the deviating properties of the feature are even further deviated. We call this kind of exaggeration of a property **intra property exaggeration**. On the other hand an **inter property exaggeration** is the exaggeration of a property caused by the deviation of another property. We therefore define the exaggeration function $e_i$ for property $i$ as:

$$e_i(x_i, \delta) = x_i + \left( c_{i1}d_1(x_i, \bar{x}_i) + \ldots + c_{in}d_n(x_i, \bar{x}_n) \right) \left\| x_i \Theta_i \bar{x}_i \right\| \delta,$$

where $\delta$ is the exaggeration parameter, $d_j$ is the distance function for property $j$, $\bar{x}_j$ is the value of the reference model for the property $j$, $c_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}^+$ for $i, j = 1 \ldots n$ are the coefficients describing the inter and intra property exaggeration, and $\left\| x_i \Theta_i \bar{x}_i \right\|$ is given by

$$\left\| x_i \Theta_i \bar{x}_i \right\| = x_i \Theta_i \bar{x}_i \frac{1}{d_j(x_i, \bar{x}_j)},$$

where $x_i, \bar{x}_i \in P_i$. The coefficient $c_{ij}$ determines the influence of the deviation of property $j$ on the exaggeration of property $i$. Intra and inter property exaggerations can be observed in real caricatures. In our approach we focus on intra property exaggerations. We therefore set all coefficients $c_{ij} = 0$ for $i \neq j$.

As mentioned above each feature consists of a set of properties. Simple features may only consist of few properties like position, orientation and elongation. More complicated features may consist of hundreds of properties describing the shape of the feature. Designing appropriate features is crucial for exaggerated visualization.
We designed our features to meet the following criteria:

- **Flexibility:** The set of properties is able to describe a wide variety of features.
- **Simplicity:** Each property is easy and fast to specify. Features which are complicated to specify may distract the user. Following the constraint of simplicity is not a restriction to the complexity of the feature. The automatically generated shape may be complicated while the user only specified few settings.
- **Measurability:** Each property is measurable and has a corresponding distance function. A pair of corresponding features differs only in the specified values of the properties. The distance between these values must be measurable.

While the first two constraints are guidelines to design features suitable for the visualization of deformations, the third constraint is a technical prerequisite for exaggerated visualization. The flexibility and simplicity constraints seem at first glance to result in a trade-off. On one hand, the features should have the flexibility to describe the subject of exaggeration; on the other hand, it should not be too complicated for the user to specify. For our application in the simplest case, the position of the single object points can be used as a feature. When points are moving, an exaggerated movement is depicted catching the attention of the viewer. For more complex exaggerated visualizations, different features and reference models can be used. A simple threshold for the maximal value of deformation can for instance be used as a reference model. This threshold can be set by an expert user globally (for the whole dataset) or regionally (manually specified for specific regions). In both cases, the exaggeration emphasizes the regions of interest.

For the purpose of demonstration of the exaggerated visualization approach, we define a three-dimensional superquadric using the implicit function:

$$f(x, y, z) = \left( \frac{x}{s_x} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{y}{\gamma} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{z}{\gamma} \right)^2.$$

We define $$s_x; \gamma \in \mathbb{R}^+$$ to be the parameters of the implicit function. The property vector space $$P = P_1 \times P_2$$ of the implicit function is therefore defined as $$\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+.$$ As a reference model, we choose the superquadric with the property vector $$(1, 1)$$ which is a sphere. We define eight different objects with all combinations of the properties $$s_x = 0.8, 1.0, 1.2$$ and $$\gamma = 0.6, 1.0, 2.5.$$ As visual representation for the implicitly defined function $$f(x, y, z)$$, we choose an iso-surface of the function:

$$g(x, y, z) = \frac{1}{f(x, y, z)^2} \quad \text{at an iso-value of} \ 0.5.$$

An example is shown in Figure 5 – in the inner square of the figure eight deviating objects (i.e., the specimen) are shown. In the center of this square, the reference model is shown. The vertical axis corresponds to the property which describes the actual shape of the iso-surface of the implicit function. The horizontal axis corresponds to the property $$s_x$$ which describes the spatial extent of the iso-surface in x-direction. The object in the lower left corner of the inner...
square for example has the property values $s_x = 0.8$ and $\gamma = 0.6$. The inner square corresponds to a subspace of the property vector space which contains all occurring objects.

**Figure 5:** Examples for exaggerated visualization operations.

The outer square of the figure is the caricature space. The properties are exaggerated resulting in more distinctive visual representations of the objects. The object in the lower left corner of the inner square differs in both properties from the reference model. Its visual representation is still close to the visual representation of the reference model. The caricature of this object makes use of a larger property vector space (i.e., the caricature space) and therefore results in a more distinct visual representation.

The objects in the upper row of the inner square are visually similar. The corresponding exaggerated versions of these objects are shown in the upper row of the outer square. Due to the exaggeration of their descriptive properties they are visually more distinctive.

The direct visualization of differences between datasets is a more expressive option. Each dataset from a given collection can be used as the reference model for all remaining datasets. A collection of $n$ datasets leads to $n^2$ exaggerated visualizations. We call this set of data the *exaggeration matrix*. In Figure 6 we illustrate the structure of such a exaggeration matrix. The main diagonal is depicting the specimen. Row $i$ of the matrix shows all exaggerations of the object $i$ using the remaining objects as reference models. Column $j$ of the matrix shows all exaggerations using object $j$ as the reference model.

The second row in Figure 6 shows all exaggerations of specimen two – the third column shows all exaggerations which use the third specimen as reference model. Therefore the element $(2, 3)$ of the matrix shows the exaggeration of the specimen 2 using the specimen 3 as the reference model. The exaggeration matrix is not necessarily meant to be completely shown to the user at once. It is a concept requiring further visualization and exploration.
techniques. While the average of datasets is distorted by outliers the exaggeration matrix depicts the direct comparison of all datasets to each other.

**Figure 6:** Illustration of the exaggeration matrix.

4. EXAMPLES OF GEODETRAN EXAGGERATED VISUALIZATION

4.1 Exaggerated Visualization of 2D Deformations – Example: Façade

For the first example we have chosen a 2D deformation on a façade. Displacements have been measured and documented over a long period (geo-referenced images including high density point cloud / displacement vectors – details about the measurement system can be found in (Reiterer et al. 2009)), Figure 7 shows an overview of the exaggerated visualization of the object deformation. The left column shows a schematic visualization of two measurement epochs of the object. The upper image serves as a reference model for the exaggeration of the lower image. Note that the differences between the two images are hardly visible.

The measured vector field will be used to exaggerate the observed deformation and to visualize the happen deformation directly in the image. First a Delaunay triangulation of the unstructured points is computed. This enables us to interpolate a vector for each position on the image plane. The user chooses an exaggeration parameter, which determines the visualization of the deformation.

The exaggeration is either applied directly to the original image of the deformed object or to a more stylized representation thereof. Figure 7 shows the visualization pipeline. During data acquisition a reference model and deformed object are measured (two different time and measurement epochs). Point clouds are captured by point detection algorithms (IOP), corresponding points by a matching routine. Result is an unstructured vector field of displacement vectors. The actual visualization step maps the unstructured field of vectors to exaggerated visual representations.
In the right most column of Figure 7 the result of the direct as well as of the stylized exaggeration is shown. The stylized exaggeration is generated by the deformation of an automatically derived edge image. The stylized exaggeration results in a sparser representation and is therefore also suitable to be overlaid over the original image.

Figure 7: Overview of the exaggerated visualization pipeline for 2D object deformation data.

Figure 8 shows an overlay of the stylized exaggeration on the deformed object. The deformation is depicted with different exaggeration factors. The exaggeration of the deformation allows a fast inspection of the underlying data and provides an intuitive representation of the deformation.

Figure 8: Exaggerated visualization of 2D object deformation. The images of the deformed object are overlaid with the exaggerated versions. The exaggeration parameter is increased from left to right.

4.2 Exaggerated Visualization of 3D Deformations – Example: Landslides

The second example shows a 3D deformation of a landslide. Landslides are movements of the ground such as rock falls or slopes. The growing number of highly populated landslide areas...
has increased the demand for fast deformation measurement, analysis and visualization systems.

Figure 9: Overview of the exaggerated visualization pipeline for 3D deformation data.

In Figure 9 all steps to achieve more advanced visualizations of an unstructured 3D point clouds and their deformation are shown. The left column of Figure 9 shows a part of the measurement epochs of an exemplary point cloud of a landslide. The underlying data was measured with an image-based measurement system (see Reiterer et al. (2009)). The point cloud is difficult to interpret due to its unstructured appearance and needs further visualization techniques. For each epoch a point cloud is measured. The reference point cloud (epoch $i$) as well as the deformed point cloud (epoch $i+1$) are triangulated using Delaunay triangulation. The triangle mesh is re-sampled on a regular mesh.

The regular mesh of the deformed point cloud is used to draw a shaded surface. Iso-lines of constant height are applied to the surface to aid the recognition of the shape of the depicted hill. A regular mesh is used as stylized representation and overlaid over the shaded surface for the purpose of exaggeration. Two corresponding meshes (of the reference model and the deformed point cloud) are used to draw caricatures respectively anti-caricatures. In the rightmost column of Figure 9 an example of a caricature and an anti-caricature are shown.

In Figure 10 a “zoom-in” on a exaggerated visualization is shown which emphasises the deformation of the landslide. In the left image of Figure 10 the deformed surface is shown with a regular grid as overlay. In the right image of Figure 10 the grid is deformed in an exaggerated way. It clearly accents the deformation that is represented in the underlying deformation data. The exaggerated deformation of the grid clearly shows where the underlying deformations occur and supports the correct interpretation and classification of the deformation. The exaggerations in Figure 10 accent regions of lifting while within regions of settlement the grid lines are hidden by the shaded surface. However, the regions of settlement can be accented with the corresponding anti-caricature.
The shown examples have been focused on one feature only (displacement of the points). As mentioned above using additional features for a more complex visualization is possible. An example for such a feature is the maximal forthcoming deformation rate. The user gets an easily interpretable visual feedback for points or areas which are exceeding or nearly exceeding the predefined maximal deformation rate.

Figure 10: Exaggerated visualization of a landslide.

5. CONCLUSION

In this paper a new method for the visualization of geodetic deformations is presented that is inspired by traditional caricature. The main goal of caricatures is a humorous depiction of the object of interest. In contrast exaggerated visualization uses the principles inspired by traditional caricatures for the purpose of an accentuated depiction of outstanding features. The developed method can be applied to a huge number of different data sets. For the paper at hand we have used high density point clouds which have been extended by image-based data. The experiments have shown that the developed techniques constitute a distinguished method for the visualization of complex deformations and movements. Advantages in comparison with traditional deformation visualization techniques such as colour coded representations or vector fields are:

- More than one feature can be visualized (e.g. displacement vectors, threshold for a maximal deformation rate, etc.). This makes the visual interpretation of complicate deformation behavior including influence factors possible.
- Visualization can be done (if necessary) in the 3D space without a transformation into separated 2D representations.
- Visualization can combine/fuse measurement data and images and can be used for animated and/or interactive representation (see Figure 11).
- Datasets can be compared to a reference model, a global threshold, or a locally modeled threshold. In the absence of a reference model and a threshold (that usually needs to be
defined by an expert), the datasets can be compared to each other. The direct comparison of datasets allows the immediate detection of outliers and abnormalities.

Figure 11: Screenshots of the implemented interactive deformation visualization tool.

Future work will implement the presented methods for visualization of deformation in an online deformation measurement and analysis system (Reiterer et al. 2009). A combined system of this kind will be a great challenge in the future. Advanced visualization techniques can help for the fast and correct interpretation of the large amounts of data produced by new measurement technologies.
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