The Relations between Earthquake and Planning for Istanbul

Hulya DEM R, Fatmagul BATUK **Ozan EMEM**, Betul SENGEZER Yildiz Technical University







Date	Location	Magnitude	Dead/Damaged
10.07.1894	Ictorbul	7.0	Building 474/287
08 11 1001	Erzurum	6.1	500/10 000
28 04 1002	Malazairt	6.7	2 626/4500
28.04.1903	Potnos	6.2	2.020/4500
04.12.1005	Malatya	6.8	500/5 000
09.02.1909	Manderes	6.3	500/5.000
03 10 1914	Burdur	7.1	4 000/17 000
24 01 1916	Tokat	7.1	500/5 000
18 11 1919	Soma	6.9	3 000/16 000
06.05.1930	Hakkâri	7.2	2 514/3 000
26 12 1939	Erzincan	7.9	32 962/116720
20 12 1942	Niksar	7.0	3 000/32 000
26 11 1943	Tosva	7.2	2824/25 000
01.02.1944	Gerede	7.2	3.959/20.865
17.08.1949	Karlıova	7.0	450/3.000
19.08.1966	Varto	6.9	2.394/20.007
28.03.1970	Gediz	7.2	1.086/9452
22.05.1971	Bingöl	6.7	878/5.617
06.09.1975	Lice	6.9	2.398/8.149
24.11.1976	Çaldıran	7.2	3840/9552
30.10.1983	Horasan	6.8	1.155/3.241
13.03.1992	Erzincan	6.8	653/6.702
17.08.1999	zmit-Gölcük	7.4	16986+/~500000
12.11.1999	Düzce	7.2	845/~18000



Building Problems

- There are 724609 buildings according to the year 2000 data, and 80% of the buildings -that has actually been in use-have **no building usage permissions**.
- There are high risks for some social house instances due to the location decisions based on the land formation deformations.
- The weak technical quality is a very common problem for building cooperatives.



National Earthquake Damage Reduction Strategy

- The strategy was constituted in accordance with the Prime Ministry Circular
- published as "National Earthquake Damage Reduction Strategy Report" of National Earthquake Council
- includes long and short term earthquake damage reduction studies

National Earthquake Damage Reduction Strategy

- the precautions were defined as principles
- it did not include the studies about the earthquake damage reduction
- human factor was neglected
- it did not define any strategy for settlements
- it neglects the importance of Istanbul City

The Study on A Disaster Prevention/ Mitigation Basic Plan

- Istanbul Metropolitan Municipality (IMM) and Japan International Cooperation Agency (JICA)
- Aimed to examine the buildings and the possible damage possibilities of infrastructures in macro level



The Earthquake Risk Analyses

- Carried out by Bogazici University and supported by American the Red Cross
- the earthquake risk was defined in a macro level
- building count, ground condition, some properties in the sub-areas etc. Data used for the definition of earthquake scenarios

Istanbul Earthquake Master Plan (IEMP)

- Started in 2002 and completed at the end of 2003
- Carried out by coordination of 4 universities (YTU, BU, METU, ITU) for stanbul Metropolitan Municipality
- Main scope is to reduce the damage effect of a possible earthquake in Istanbul
- Secondary scope is improving the quality of natural and urban environment

IEMP Strategies

- Defining the new planning level as "National Spatial Strategy Plan" for Turkey's planning system in order to define the spatial basis of the developments of social and economical.
- It is necessary to make a specific region plan for Istanbul Metropolitan Area. In this context, developing a complete model around all existing local plans for Istanbul.
- Preparing Istanbul Metropolitan Area Master Plan and IDERSP using the maps showing the physical, cultural and natural thresholds which are prepared by specialist organizations.









The project also includes some suggestions for the constitutional, developmental, urbanization and local administration laws

- Organization and responsibilities
- Planning
- Building







Conclusions

- Building auditing, urban regeneration applications, development application methods, region planning, spatial strategy planning, wholeness of plans, disaster preventions and earthquake safety studies are considered and new sentences are being offered
- IEMP strategies are in action in a pilot area