

### THE INVESTIGATION OF THE INCOME METHOD ON AGRICULTURAL AREAS IN TURKEY AND A METHOD PROPOSAL

Dr. Mehmet ERTA

### **1. Introduction**

Real estate valuation on agricultural lands and urban areas has become valuable since the 1990s when the liberal economy was began to apply in our country.

Since the subject of real estate valuation became a current issue in 2000 in our country "principle confusion" was exist. Because every investigator tried to adapt the principle to our country with little revisions in which country they searched.

#### Introduction

The real estate valuation has generally become a current issue in urban areas in our country, but it is neglected in agricultural lands.

The reason is:

- Since there is a rapid immigration from country to city, more lands are needed in cities, so the real estate traffic is more than in country (the rate of urban population was 62% in 2000 whereas it is 70,5% in 2008).
- Customer and seller groups in city are on a vast scale whereas the groups in country are very narrow.
- Freedom and incentive on import in agriculture are very little

#### Introduction

Because of the three main reasons, the real estate valuation in agricultural lands has been used on selling the national treasury lands and bank mortgages more than selling between people. But in recent years,

- increase in banking,
- Ioaning,
- selling the lands of national treasury,
- privatization,
- invest on technology by the big land owners,
- have increased the real estate valuation in agricultural lands.

#### **2. Real Estate Valuation**

The studies in our country examined only in location and aspect of the land.

Location superiorities are expressed orally, but the quality is perceived as production. Location superiorities can be put in a mathematical model. Local rate of interest capitalization must be determined differently for each working area.

On the contrary, the influence of the conjuncture to valuation was neglected.

### **2.1. Location Grading**

According to habits in our country, local rate of interest capitalization is calculated by division of the annual net income of the land to the selling price. However, the lands in same class and in same productivity may differ in their price. The reason is the superiority of the location to each other.

The location superiorities are called the **location valuation criteria**. The valuation criteria and rates can be reduced or expanded for each agriculture area. Location valuation criteria are graded as below. Grading is given according to the interviews of the farmers of the area and the experiences from the applications.

#### **2.2. Soil Quality**

The amount of the organic element, dampness, soil class, irrigation facility, grade etc. affects the quality of an agricultural land. In this study area, the climate is continental, according to the average of long years it gets rain 300 mm/year. The topography of the area is little hilly. Most part of the area is in 2-3 % grade/slope, it has rarely 4-5 % grade. Alternately agriculture has been applied and after every alternate the land has left unsown (U).

For that reason, in calculation of the annual net income and in **land planting plan** this was taken into the consideration. The part where the grade is 4% or more is a little stony, calcareous and has shallow soil. The general feature of the soil is, light brown-grey colour, poor structured, clayey, loamy, has low organic ingredient. These areas are 2nd -3rd class dry land group. Wheat (W) and barley (B) are planted on these lands.

#### **Soil Quality**

The part where the grade is 1-2% is watered, has medium-high organic ingredient. These areas are 1st -2nd class watered land group. Industrial plants like sugar beet (SB) and sunflower (S), wheat, barley and a few peach and cherry trees are planted on these lands

#### **2.3. Capitalization Interest**

Interest is a cost which is paid to the owner of the capital for the usage of a certain amount of capital in a certain time. Local rate of interest capitalization is the usage right of the capital on land. Farmers are bound to the land, because it is trustable, has minimum risk, can't be carried, can't be increased and giving the owner the favour of monopoly.

Using the Market interest rates on determination of the rate of interest capitalization is acceptable for the developed countries. This rule is not valid in our country where the national income is very low and the population rate is not below 10%.

#### **Capitalization Interest**

In order to calculate the local rate of interest capitalization, there must be a similar land parcels which have the similar features and recently sold like the land where the evaluation will took place.

Since there are very big rates between the actual prices of the lands and the prices at Property Register Management in our country, the prices at Property Register Management are not investigated. In spite of this, some of the trusty villagers who have no excuse to be an expert and the land owners are asked the prices after swearing.

Which data will be collected from which institution is given in Table1.

#### 11

#### **Capitalization Interest**

Corp prices in our study area are taken from the District Agriculture Management and Agriculture Stock Market. Data of the crop outputs are taken from the District Agriculture Management, land prices are taken from the real estate agencies and National Real Estate Management.

#### Capitalization Rate Calculation

#### Total Income Calculation (TL/da)

- gross income (main crop) = average output (kg/da) x crop price (TL/kg)
- gross income (secondary crop) = average output (kg/da) x crop price (TL/kg)
- total gross income = gross income (main crop) + gross income (secondary crop



CropsellingPrices (TL/kg)	Crop Output (kg/da) - City/ District Agriculture	Crop Cost (TL/da) (TL/kg) - Ci ty/DistrictAgriculture
- City/ District	Managements	Managements
Agriculture	- TurkeyStatistics	- AgricultureCredit
Managements	Institution	Cooperatives
· LandCropOffice	- The Chamber of the	-
• The Chamber of the	AgriculturalEngineers	
Agricultural	Land selling prices and	OtherData
Engineers	dates	- ÜFE -TUİK
• The Chamber of the	- PropertyRegister	- Personal studies on local
Commerceand	Management(sellingsin	pricechanges
Industry	last a few years )	- Other Economic and Money
Agriculture Stock	- NationalRealEstate	parameters
Market	Management.	- The results of the former
· Wholesale Food Market	- Municipalities (Prices for	nationalizationStudies
Managements	ther eal estate tax)	
	DelE to Del	

### 2.4. Conjuncture

Real estate valuation means, evaluation of a real estate according to the market habits by one of the methods suitable to the conditions of time and the region. But conjuncture influences the value of real estate as the location and the soil quality.

Conjuncture expresses the whole actions of the economy of a country like up and down and wavy. In other way, it refers the situation that every condition and position exist.

Conjuncture is every kind of political and economic undulations happened in the world and in our country. It influences the countries very little which have very low inflation and high income (national income 20000 \$ and more).

15

#### Conjuncture

On the contrary real estate market was influenced in our country which inflation rate is 10% and national income is 5000 \$.

In this case, investment tools go away from the real estate market and stock market, and go to the foreign exchange and gold.

In our country, regression was seen in real estate market. Since there isn't any change in the conjuncture in Turkey, no conjunctural change has been observed.

For this study, the vacant lots of the state in the sak village of Konya in Turkey of which selling was the subject to the evaluation by the court was chosen .

sak village is in the Northeast of Konya which is 210 km far and has 6250 ha and has the population of 650 people. It has continental climate, watered lands and various crop types. The process for evaluation was followed as below. The Study Area Map is seen in Figure 1.

K1, K2, ... comparison parcel
D1, D2, ..: evaluation parcels



Location grades and land types of the 11 lands which are to be evaluated was given on Table 8 and 9. The lands of which evaluation will be done later and the land of which **land class** and **location grade** are close to each other were chosen as comparison parcels for the study. It is also seen in the **Evaluation Map**.

The land owners and the villagers under oath expressed the true values in Table 3 Column 7 under consensus. The location grade criteria for the study area were applied as below.

19

### Application

**-The possibility of producing new parcel:** Since agriculture is an activity which is done with the contributions of the family members, enough land must be inherited to the members after the death of the owner. The land must have a possibility of parcel production.

Parcelnumber	0	2	5	7	9	11+
Point	-3	1	2	3	4	5

**-The distance from the main road, village centre and petrol station:** The distance to the village centre to carry the agricultural equipment to the field, the distance to the main road to reach the market and certain buying centres, the distance from the field to the petrol station for the need of fuel is very important for the farmers. Different grading for the three criteria can be done in this way.

<b>-The Situatio</b> for well and electricity. The	on of the E some of e grading ca	lectric Energy: It is an in the agricultural machine an be done in this way.	mportant criteri s working wit
Situation	Present	Presentat Neighbour	Absent
Point	5	2	-2
-Facility of In	rrigation:/	As seen on Table 3 there	e are nearly tw
times differer	nce betwee	n the dry and watered	lands. For tha
reason existe	nce of the w	rell or canal is an importan	t criterion.



-Local Crop because of ha other villages rarely potatoe be done as be State Point	Variety: aving dump around. S s , onion, we elow; <u>Many</u> 3	The Crops by and high Sugar beet, egetables a	are vario quality soil sunflower, nd fruit are	us in sak comparing v wheat, barl grown. Grad	village with the ey and ing can
		;	I		

• The size and being one piece of the land: All the lands sold by the state are big enough to support a farmer family (100 da for Konya) and in one piece. Four points are given to one piece lands. Size grading can be done as below;

Area(m <sup>2</sup> )	100	200	300	400+
Point	2	3	4	5

-Cadastre:	Cadastre	studies of	f the area	are com	pleted, for th	8
reason 1 pc	int is given	to all land	ls.			
-The Geon	netry of th	e Land:	All the lar	ids in the	e village are	ir
The angle of	shape and edges of co	the num	parcels w	corner po hich were	oints are 4 or e evaluated a	5 r€
noorly 00o	with each o	other. For t	hat reasor	the loss	of land tracking	10
are very littl	e.					1
are very littl	e.					
are very littl	e. <mark>alno</mark>	5	8+			

**-Property Security:** There is no life and property danger to obstruct the type of planting the lands, so 1 point is given to all.

In order to valuate the 11 lands which are belong to the National Estate Management, 8 comparison lands which are around was chosen (Figure 1) the rates of location valuation raise are calculated in Table 2 as K1, K2...

Either local experts under oath or the land owners were asked the price of the comparison lands, and their declaration reports are given on Table 3 Column 7.



# Table 2 Location Grades of theComparison Parcels

Location Valuation Criteria	<b>K</b> 1	K2	K3	K4	K5	Kő	<b>K7</b>	<b>K8</b>
The facility of Producing new parcel	5	4	0	0	3	4	2	3
Dist ance to the main road (km)	5	5	5	-2	5	5	0	3
Distance to the village centre (km)	-4	3	-1	0	-1	1	2	1
Distance to the petrol station (km)	5	- 4	3	-3	2	-1	-1	2
The state of Electricity	5	5	5	5	2	2	-2	-2
Facilityofirrigation	8	8	8	8	3	3	-3	-3
Slope	8	6	3	3	3	3	3	3
Soilclass	8	8	5	5	4	4	2	2
Regional crop variety (clover, wheat, barley , sugarbeet, sunflover)	3	3	3	3	1	3	1	1
Onepieceland	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
Cadastral	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Geometry of the land	3	3	-3	3	3	3	3	3
Landsize	4	5	3	4	3	3	3	3
Prop ertysecurity	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Rate of Total Value Increase	56	60	37	33	35	36	16	22

## **Table 3 Bare Value Calculation ofComparison Parcels**

SN	Block	Parcel	Area (da)	Landclass	LandPlantation Plan	Current Value (RB) TL/da	Locational Value (%)D <sub>k</sub>	BareValue YD= RB/(1+D <sub>k</sub> )	Bare Value Average TL/da
K1	226	1	317,9	1. C.watered	SB+SF+W+ B+U	2300	56	147 <b>4,3</b> 6	1500.91
K2	238	86	530,0	1. C. waterered	SB+SF+W+ B+U	2450	60	1531,25	1,02,01
K3	219	67	211,6	2.C . watered	SB+SF+W+ B+U	1700	37	1240,88	1221.05
K4	219	16	284,1	2.C . water	SB+SF+W+ B+U	1600	33	1203,01	1221,93
К	219	27	153,3	2.C .dry	SF+W+B+ U	700	35	518,52	400.00
<b>K6</b>	219	დ	240,5	2.C .dry	SF+W+B+ U	650	36	477,94	490,20
K7	216	60	175,3	3.C .dry	W+B+N	450	16	387,93	202.20
<b>K</b> 8	216	56	199,8	3.Cdry	W+B+N	500	2	409,84	370,03

The 11 land belongs to the National Estate Management are 1st class watered, 2nd class watered, 2nd class dry and 3rd class dry lands.

The widespread land planting plans according to the land class is determined in **sak village**. According to these plans, annual net income of the 1st class watered, 2nd class watered, 2nd class dry and 3rd class dry lands are calculated. These are shown in Table 4, Table 5 and Table 6.

## Table 4Local crops and productiongrown in the 1st class watered land.

PLANTS	PRODUCTION WATERED (kg/da) (V.)	NETINCOME RATE(%) <i>(K</i> )	PRİCE (YTL/kg) <i>(F</i> )	ANNUALNET INCOME (YTL/da) G=V <sub>s</sub> xKxF
Wheat	500	40	0.50	100,00
Barley	400	-40	0.45	72,00
Wheatstraw	/100	90	0.20	72,00
Barleystraw	300	90	0.10	27,00
Sunflower	300	55	0,60	99,00
Sugarbeet	5000	50	0.12	300.00
Directincome sup port	10YTL/da			$10 \ge 4 - 40$
NET INCOME (Main crop) G <sub>A</sub> =(G <sub>b</sub> +G <sub>a</sub> +G <sub>y</sub> +G <sub>y</sub> )	571,00			
NET INCOME(secondary crop) G <sub>Y</sub> =(G <sub>by</sub> +(G <sub>ax</sub> +(G <sub>d.dsy</sub> )	139,00			
<b>NETINCOMETOTAL</b> (Y TL/da) $G_{NY} = G_A + G_{-Y}$	710,00			
ANNUALNETINCOME  G_N=(G_M / Dön.Say.)	710,0 0/5= <b>142,00 YTL/d</b> a			

PLANTS	PRODUCTION WATERED (kg/da) (V.)	PRODUCTION DRY(kg/da)	NET INCOME RATE	PRICE (YTL/kg) (F)	ANNI INCOM G=V	IALNET E(YTL/da) . xKxF
Wheat	400	300	40	0.50	80.00	60.00
Barley	300	200	40	0.45	54,00	36,00
Wheatstraw	300	225	90	0.20	54,00	40,50
Barley straw	250	150	90	0.10	22,50	13,50
Sunflower	200	120	55	0,60	66,00	39,60
Sugarbeet	4000		50	0.12	240,00	
Directincome support	10YTL/da	10YTL/da			4x	3x10,00
NET INCOME (Main crop) G <sub>A</sub> ={G <sub>b</sub> +G <sub>a</sub> +G <sub>y</sub> +G <sub>p</sub> )	440,00	135,60				
NET INCOME(secondary crop) G <sub>7</sub> ≓G <sub>ke</sub> +G <sub>es</sub> +G <sub>d.des</sub> )	116,50	84,00				
<b>NET INCOME TOTAL (YTL/da)</b> $G_{W} = G_A + G_F$	556,50	219,60				
ANNUALNET INCOME G u≓(G ug (Dån Sav.)	.5.56,50/5= 111.30 YTL/da	219,60/4= 54.90 YTL/da				

# Table 6Local crops and productiongrown in the 3rd class dry land.

PLANTS	PRODUCTION WATERED (kg(da) (V_1)	NET INCOME BATE(%)	PRICE (YTL/kg)	ANNUAL NET INCOME
Wheat	225	40	0.50	45,0 0
Barley	150	40	0.45	27,00
Wheatstraw	170	90	0.20	30,60
Barleystraw	125	90	0.10	11,25
Direct income support	10YTL/da			$10 \ge 3 = 30$
NET INCOME (Main crop) G <sub>A</sub> ={G <sub>b</sub> +G <sub>a</sub> +G <sub>y</sub> +G <sub>g</sub> )	72,00			
NET INCOME(secondary crop) G <sub>Y</sub> =(G <sub>bs</sub> +G <sub>as</sub> +G <sub>d.des</sub> )	71,85			
NET INCOME TOTAL (YTL/da) G <sub>NY</sub> = G <sub>A</sub> +G y	143,85			
ANNUALNET INCOME $G_N = (G_M / Dön.Say.)$	143,85/3= <b>47,95</b> <b>YTL/da</b>			

Acc ann Tab <b>cap</b>	ording to ual net inc le 7 is <b>italizatio</b> r	the ba come a formeo n.	a <b>re value</b> average fo d to calo	avareg or the lai culate <b>t</b>	e calc nd clas he lo	ulated i s in Ta <b>cal rat</b>	n Table bles 4, : <b>e of</b>	e 3, and 5,6, and <b>interest</b>
	blo	7	Loca	l ra	ite	of	int	erest
8								
Ca	pitaliz	zatio		INET	[			
Ca Ca LAND CLASS	pitaliz PRICE(YT	<mark>zatio</mark> TL/da)	ON ANNUA INCOME(	LNET YTL/da)	CAPI	LOCAI IALIZAT	LRATEO ION INTI	<mark>F</mark> EREST (%)
Ca LAND CLASS	pitaliz PRICE(YT Watere d	zatio TL/da) Dry	ANNUA INCOME( Watered	LNET YTL/da) Dry	CAPI Wa	LOCAI FALIZAT tered	LRATEO ION INTI	F EREST (%) Dry
Ca LAND CLASS	pitaliz PRICE(YT Watere d 1502,81	zatio TL/da) Dry	ANNUA INCOME( Watered 142,00	LNET YTL/da) Dry	CAPI Wa	LOCAI FALIZAT tered	LRATEO ION INTI	F EREST (%) Dry 
LAND CLASS	PRICE(YT Watere d 1502,81 1221,95	zatio TL/da) Dry 498,23	ANNUA INCOME( Watered 142,00 111,30	LNET YTL/da) Dry 54,90	CAPI Wa 0,094 0,091	LOCA FALIZAT tered 0,093	LRATEO ION INTI 0,110	F EREST (%) Dry

All these rates are between the 3-15 % which is the legal obligatory of the Supreme Court 18th Law Office. After the calculation of these rates, valuation grades of 11 lands belong to the National Estate Management are calculated as in Table 8.

In the last step of the calculations, value of 11 lands are calculated as seen in Table 8.

# Table 8. The location grades of the<br/>valuated parcels.

Locational Valuation Critera Ölcütleri	D1	D2	DB	D4	D5	D6	D7	D8	D9	D10	D11
The facility of Producing new parcel (adet)	-3	5	2	5	4	-3	5	2	3	2	5
Distance to main road (km)	-2	5	5	4	5	3	5	1	4	-3	-2
Distance to village centre (km)	3	-1	-1	3	1	1	-3	2	-1	5	0
Distance to petrol station (km)	-2	3	3	4	3	2	5	-1	-3	-3	-3
Stateofelectricity	5	2	2	5	2	2	5	5	2	2	5
Facilityofirrigation	3	3	3	8	3	3	8	3	3	3	8
Slope	-1	3	3	3	3	3	6	-1	3	-1	3
Soilclass	0	4	4	4	4	0	8	0	0	0	5
Regionaleropvariety (fruit, clover, wheat, barley, potatoes, onion, o af, fiğ, sugar beet,)	1	3	3	3	3	1	3	1	3	1	3
Onepieceland	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
Cadastral	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Geometry of the land	-3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Landsize	4	5	4	3	3	2	4	3	3	1	5
Propertysecurity	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Rate of Total Value Increase	11	41	37	51	40	23	55	24	26	16	38

# Table 9. The current cost calculation of<br/>the valuated percels.

(G) da/yii	(D=G/k)	value (%)D <sub>k</sub>	RB=D .(1+D <sub>k</sub> ) TL/d a
40	347,83	11	386
+ U 116,42	1251,83	41	1765
58,00	504,35	37	691
+ U 145,66	1566,24	51	2365
51,48	447,65	40	627
40	347,83	23	428
+ U 147,95	1590,86	55	2466
40	347,83	24	431
47	408,70	26	515
33,8	293,91	16	341
+ U 121,42	1305,59	38	1802
	33,8 + U 121,42	33,8     293,91       + U     121,42     1305,59	33,8     293,91     16       + U     121,42     1305,59     38

#### **4.** Conclusions

The usage of the land which is one of the basic components of human life for urban, industrial, transport and agriculture has been increasing day by day. The intensive demand to the agricultural areas from different sectors and nourishment, the value of the agricultural lands has been changing.

For this reason, the valuation of the agricultural lands become important. Land valuation is done fort he aims like, nationalization, buying, getting loan and mortgage.

Whatever is the aim, the valuation has been done according to the **income management** as it is a legal obligatory. However, **locational value** raise and **conjuncture** are always neglected in these evaluations.

#### Conclusions

Everybody knows that the prices are not true. Instead of these prices villagers' statements who are under oath are taken into the consideration. In order not to be these happen, land buying and selling charges and land taxes should be abolished or decreased in the rate of 0,1%.

In this way the real prices of the land will be given to the Property Registration Managements and the valuation map will be formed easily, the groups of buyers and sellers and public will be protected against deceive by current and reliable data.

<sup>39</sup> 

### **CONTACTS**

### Dr. Mehmet ERTAŞ

Address Selcuk University, Teknik Bilimler MYO, 42075

Konya / TÜRKİYE

Tel. +09 332 223 23 76 Fax +09 332 241 01 85 Email: mertas@selcuk.edu.tr



