

ΛΤΚΙΝ

Review of the Existing Cost Effective Surveying Technologies and Techniques

Dan Schnurr, Chair FIG WG 5.4 FIG Working Week 2004, Athens

Structure of paper / presentation

First – it is a review paper and a "live document"

ATKINS

- Targeted at a *"lay"* audience
 Working Group 5.4 structure, remit and work plan
 Issues we are focussing on:
 Mapping from 1:1000 to 1:25000 scale
 Survey specifications
 Current technology and techniques
 Appropriate use
- ApplicationsConclusion
- References

Working Group 5.4	ATKINS	Working Group 5.4	ATKINS
 Working Group 5.4 – structure Dan Schnurr, UK – Chair Chryssy Potsiou, Greece Gerda Schennach, Austria Orhan Ercan, Turkey Tim Viney, UK Jo Padeyi, Trinidad and Tobago Peter Dare, Canada Mabel Alvarez de Lopez, Argentina Difficulties huge subject, focus easily lost "virtual collection of people" other delegates are welcome to join! 		 Working Group 5.4 – remit From the keynote address given by Dr HABITAT to the XXII FIG Congress in "To identify more cost effective ways to im accessibility of tools of land information." to aid more effective planning, development the environment. Also to develop innovati resourcefulness in simplifying these tools if Working Group 5.4 – work plan http://www.fig.net/figtree/commission5/warour 	Washington DC, 2002 prove the availability and o suggest these methods at and management of on, adaptation and o fit the local situation."



ATKINS

C	Curren	t Tech	nnologies - issues	ATKINS
:	sate mul mic aeri Accura	ellite imag tispectra rowave s al photog ite speci	l, thermal, hyperspectral scanning ensing (radar and laser)	, accuracy
	Mapping Scale	"Ground resolution"	Typical Application	
		0.2m	Urban cadastre, detailed engineering design and construction	
	1:1000			
	1:2000	0.4m	Rural cadastral and other boundary demarcations	
	1:2000 1:5000	1m	Town planning	
	1:2000			

•	Simplest option:	
	 use appropriate imagery source 	
	use GPS method for ground control	
•	Not the only option:	
	Cost benefit must be assessed	
	"Low-cost" GPS options also available	
	Lidar	
•	Moving targets	
	SPOT-5	
	Helios-2	
	Pleiades	



Platform	Imagery / System	Resolution	Imagery control method	Typical mapping
Satellite	Landsat	10m	"Level 1" GPS	1:50,000
	SPOT	5m	"Level 2" GPS	1:25,000
	IKONOS	1m	"Level 3" GPS	1:10,000
Fixed Wing Airc raft	1:24,000 VAP	0.5m	"Level 3" GPS	1:10,000
	1:12,000 VAP	0.25m	"Level 4" GPS	1:5,000
	1:3,000 VAP	0.06m	"Level 4" GPS	1:1,000
	LiDAR – low res.	0.3m	"Level 4" GPS	1:5,000
Ŧ	1:1000 VAP 1:600 VAP	0.02m	Land survey	1:500
Helico pter		0.01m	Land survey	1:200
	LiDAR – high res.	0.05m	"Level 4" GPS	1:500











Conclusions

- Specification and guidelines to help the end user are vital to ensure cost effective use of the available technology.
- Regardless of specification and final application, a standardised flow line should be followed to ensure appropriate use of the available technology.

ATKINS

- Precise requirements and needs of the local situation must be met by the mapping project. Capacity/ability of local circumstances and commercial environment must also be balanced.
- FIG Publication planned "Guidelines for Cost Effective Survey Measurement in the Developing World." Munich 2006.



ATKINS