

Next Generation Global Navigation Satellite Systems

Matt Higgins
Principal Survey Advisor,
Department of Natural Resources, Mines and Water
Chair Commission 5 on Positioning and Measurement,
International Federation of Surveyors (FIG)
Co-Authors: Chris Rizos and Steven Hewitson
University of New South Wales



Global Navigation Satellite Systems (GNSS) are seeing many new developments...

- USA's GPS Modernisation and ultimately GPS-III
- Russia's GLONASS Re-vitalisation
- Japan's MSAS and QZSS
- India's GAGAN
- China's Beidou
- A very significant new development is the EU's Galileo...



Outline of the Presentation

- Next Generation GNSS
 - GPS Modernization and GPS-III
 - GLONASS
 - Galileo
- Growing Number of Centimetre Accuracy Applications of GNSS;
- Multi-GNSS Receiver Options/Implications;
- Some Issues for Surveyors and Reference Station Service Providers;
- Some Institutional Arrangements;
- Concluding Remarks.



Benefits of Next Generation GNSS

- GPS and GLONASS combined have already demonstrated the benefits of extra satellites;
- Galileo brings all that and more;
- The benefits of the extra satellites and their signals:
 - Continuity (3 sub-systems are better than 1);
 - Accuracy (eg Galileo Commercial Service 0.1m in handset);
 - Efficiency (centimetre accuracy with shorter initialisation times);
 - Availability (60+ Satellites by 2010, 10 available will be common place, working in Urban Canyons, under Tree Canopies, in Open Cut Mines) and;
 - Reliability (more reliable signal tracking, also designed for Safety-of-Life).
- Coded signals brings cheaper receivers for centimetre applications.



GPS Modernisation and GPS-III



GPS Satellite Status

(as at October 2005)


SVN	PRN	Launch Date	Orbit Plane	Usable	SVN	PRN	Launch Date	Orbit Plane	Usable
Block I									
1	4	22-02-78	no		32	1	22-11-92	F6	11-12-92
2	7	13-05-78	no		29	29	18-12-92	F5	5-01-93
3	6	6-10-78	no		22	22	3-02-93		no
4	8	10-12-78	no		31	31	20-05-93		no
5	5	9-02-80	no		37	7	13-05-93	C4	12-06-93
6	9	26-04-80	no		39	9	26-06-93	A1	20-07-93
7	11	14-03-83	no		35	5	30-08-93	B4	28-09-93
8	13	13-06-84	no		34	4	26-10-93	D4	22-11-93
9	12	8-09-84	no		36	6	10-03-94	C1	28-03-94
10	3	9-10-85	no		36	6	10-03-94	C1	28-03-94
11	14	14-03-89	no		33	3	28-03-96	C2	9-04-96
12	16	10-06-89	no		40	10	16-07-96	E5	15-08-96
13	19	21-10-89	no		30	30	12-09-96	BE	1-10-96
14	18	11-12-89	no		38	8	6-11-97	A3	18-12-97
15	18	24-01-90	no		Block III				
16	20	26-03-90	no		launch failure				
17	21	2-09-90	no		42	1	23-07-97	F3	31-01-98
18	15	1-10-90	D5	15-10-90	43	13	23-07-97	F3	31-01-98
19	19	21-10-89	no		46	11	6-10-99	D2	3-01-00
20	20	26-03-90	no		51	20	11-05-00	E1	1-08-00
21	21	2-09-90	no		44	28	16-07-00	B3	17-08-00
22	22	3-02-93	no		41	14	10-11-00	F1	10-12-00
23	23	26-11-90	no		54	18	30-01-01	E4	15-02-01
24	24	4-07-91	D1	30-08-91	56	16	29-01-03	B1	18-02-03
25	25	23-02-92	A2	24-03-92	45	21	31-03-03	D3	12-04-03
26	26	7-07-92	F2	23-07-92	47	22	21-12-03	E2	12-01-04
27	27	9-09-92	A4	30-09-92	59	19	4-03-04	C3	5-04-04
					60	23	23-06-04	F4	9-07-04
					61	2	6-11-04	D7	30-11-04

12 satellites currently older than 10yrs! (Design life is 7.5yrs).




GPS Signal Modernization


<http://www.navcen.uscg.gov/>




GPS Modernization Schedule (1)



GPS Modernization Schedule (2)



GPS Modernization Schedule (3)



GPS ... the old & the new

- True Next (2nd) Generation GPS is GPS-III.
- For the next decade there will be 3 generations of GPS signals ...
 - GPS-IIR: L1 C/A code, L2 codeless
 - GPS-IIRM: L1 C/A code, L2C code
 - GPS-IIF: L1 C/A code, L2C code, L5 code/codeless
 - GPS-III: L1C code, L2C code, L5 code/codeless
- Receiver costs *should* drop for some signal combinations, but complexity will rise (in antennas, hardware, software).
- What will NOT change is tight U.S. military control over GPS space & ground segments.
- No plans for introduction of user charges.



GLONASS

- GNSS similar to GPS, originally developed by the USSR (now Russian Federation). Also military controlled.
- GPS is CDMA-based, GLONASS is FDMA.
- No direct user charges, as in the case of GPS.
- Fully operational in 1996, but now only 14 functioning satellites.
- Several new (multiple satellite) launches in the last few years.
- Russian president has made commitment to be operational by 2007 (18 sats?).
- Open, dual-use system, with civil L2 signal and new L5 signal (2007+), compatible with GPS.
- India has signed a MoU with Russia in January 2004.
- India's SBAS ('GAGAN') is based on both GPS and GLONASS.



Combined GPS/GLONASS receivers already have market advantage where signal availability difficult, e.g. open cut mines...

Main Characteristics of GLONASS satellite

Mass, kg	about 1000
Diameter, m	2.30
Length with unfolded magnetometer coil, m	7.84
Width with unfolded solar batteries, m	7.20
Type of navigation signal	- pseudorange with binary phase shift keying
Data stream transmission rate, bps	50
Received power level, dBW	-160...-90

Comparison GPS & GLONASS

	GLONASS	GPS
No of satellites	24	24
No of orbital planes	3	6
Orbital inclination	64.8°	55°
Orbit altitude	19,130 km	20,180 km
Period of revolution	11h15m00s	11h58m00s
Geodetic datum	PZ-90	WGS-84
Geodetic time reference	UTC(Russia)	UTC(USNO)
Signalling	FDMA	CDMA
L1 carrier frequency	1602 - 1609 MHz	1575 MHz
L2 carrier frequency	1246 - 1251 MHz	1227 MHz
# of code elements (C/A)	511	1023
# of code elements (P)	5.11x10 ³	2.35x10 ¹⁴
Code rate (C/A)	5.11 kbps	1023 kbps
Code rate (P)	5.11 Mbps	10.23 Mbps
Crosscorr. Interference	-48 dB	-21.6 dB

5th FIG Regional Conference - Accra Ghana

13



GALILEO

- ◆ Initiative of the EU, supported by ESA.
- ◆ Tension between EU & USA over issues of "interoperability" & "compatibility".
- ◆ 'Development & Validation' phase has commenced (naming of Concessionaire).
- ◆ First test satellite launches in 2005-2006.
- ◆ 30 MEO satellite constellation, *operational by 2008-2010.*
- ◆ **Four levels of service:** 2 fee-based to guarantee certain level of performance (e.g. *integrity* for SoL users, *accuracy* for CS), plus free OS to match GPS's, & restricted PRS.
- ◆ **'Private-Public Partnership', in contrast to U.S. military control/funding of GPS.**
- ◆ Current Business Models will be challenged.
- ◆ Galileo is a '2G' system, *compared with GPS's '1G' system.*




<http://www.galileo-pgm.org/index.htm>
<http://www.genesis-office.org/>
<http://www.galileoju.com/>
<http://www.esa.int/navigation/>

5th FIG Regional Conference - Accra Ghana

14



The GALILEO Arguments ...



5th FIG Regional Conference - Accra Ghana

15



US-EU Dromoland Castle Agreement

26 June 2004


- Paves way for eventual doubling of satellites that will broadcast a common civil signal worldwide (GPS+GALILEO), *tripling if include GLONASS.*
- Requires non-discrimination and open markets in trade in civil GNSS-related goods and services.
- Ensures GALILEO's signals will not harm NAVWAR capabilities of U.S. and NATO.
- Establishes process for U.S. and EU to address individual and mutual security concerns.
- GPS-III new L1 signal should be compatible with GALILEO's.

5th FIG Regional Conference - Accra Ghana


16



Multi-GNSS Availability









17



5th FIG Regional Conference - Accra Ghana

18






GALILEO Concessionaire: consortium of

- EADS - *setup to be Europe's Boeing* - owns Airbus, Ariane, Eurofighter and Eurocopter. In 2004 had revenues of €31.8 billion & employed more than 110,000 people!
- Alcatel – 2004 sales of €12.3 billion, in more than 130 countries
- Thales – 2004 revenue of €10.3 billion, employs 60,000 people worldwide
- Finmeccanica – 2003 turnover €8.6 billion, €1.2 billion on R&D, 51,000 staff
- Inmarsat - 2004 revenue was \$US480.7 million
- Also involves Spain's AENA and Hispasat
- So parent companies of the Concessionaire had 2004 revenues of more than **€60 billion!**



GALILEO's System Architecture



Concessionaire wants to partner with Service Providers (eg. RTK services)...



From GPS to GNSS for High Accuracy Users...

- **Assumption: Surveyors and other centimetre accuracy users seek best performance...**
- Use multi-GNSS receivers, *to improve availability.*
- Use multiple-frequency receivers, *to improve accuracy & Time-to-Ambiguity Resolution for Carrier Phase based techniques.*
- Will still need CORS networks for DGNSS.
- Variety of CORS &/or Service Providers, *different scales/coverage/markets & business models.*



GNSS Applications in Queensland Government

eg Queensland Rail...



Port of Brisbane: New Port Road and Seawall built using GPS Machine Guidance



Improved Shipping Guidance and Clearance



Some ports – dredging an extra 0.1m costs over \$3 Million



Mine Safety



Dragline Automation



My Dept's SunPOZ RTK Network Service

- Centimetre accuracy in real-time using survey quality GPS receiver and mobile phone communications;
- Pilot Network since 2001;
- Operational Network during 2006;
- Coverage for cm accuracy includes 15km buffer around outside of network;
- Coverage much larger for sub-meter corrections;
- Ideally suited to become a Galileo Local Element



Galileo Sensor Station Proposal to European Space Agency



GPS Surveying Receivers...cm accuracy RT or PP

Decreasing cost ↓	L1	L2 codeless	L2C	L5	# sats 2010 # sats 2015	Comments
	28 36	10 0	18 36	10 28	28/10-DF, 10-TF 36-DF, 28-TF	A Can old Rx track L2C in codeless mode?
28 36	- -	18 36	10 28	18/10-DF, 10-TF 36-DF, 28-TF	B	
18 36	- -	18 36	- -	18-DF 36-DF	C	

- A:** Rx tracks all sats, highest availability, highest cost, improvement in DF-only performance over current system, no TF-only positioning until 2015, best hybrid.
- B:** Moderate cost Rx, DF-only performance improved in 2015, no TF-only positioning until 2015, good hybrid positioning.
- C:** Lowest cost Rx, DF-only performance (decreased performance in 2010, but improved in 2015), no TF positioning possible.



GALILEO¹/GPS² Surveying Receivers...

Decreasing cost ↓	L1 ^{1,2}	E6 ¹	L2C ²	E5 ¹ /L5 ²	# sats 2010; # sats 2015	Comment
	30/28 30/36	30 30	18 36	30/10 30/28	60-DF ¹ , 28-DF ² ; 30-TF ¹ , 10-TF ² 60-DF ¹ , 64-DF ² ; 30-TF ¹ , 28-TF ²	A GPS+GALILEO
30/28 30/36	- -	18 36	30/10 30/28	30-DF ¹ , 28-DF ² ; 10-TF ² 30-DF ¹ , 64-DF ² ; 30-TF ¹	B GPS+GALILEO	
30 30	30 30	- -	30 30	60-DF ¹ ; 30-TF ¹ 60-DF ¹ ; 30-TF ¹	C GALILEO	
30 30	- -	- -	30 30	30-DF ¹ 30-DF ¹	D GALILEO	

- A:** Top-of-line GNSS Rx tracks all sats, highest availability, highest cost, highest in DF-only & TF-only performance, best hybrid.
- B:** Moderate cost GNSS Rx tracks all sats, but does not track E6, GPS TF-only positioning available 2015, good price/performance compromise.
- C:** Moderate cost GALILEO-only surveying Rx, TF-only positioning available 2010, unclear if tracking of E6 requires user charges for CS.
- D:** Lowest cost GALILEO-only surveying Rx, DF-only performance (similar to current GPS-only performance in 2010), uses OS signals only.



Multiple GNSS Sub-Systems needs improved Institutional Arrangements for GNSS



International Committee on GNSS

- In 2004 UN General Assembly Resolution 59/2 (paragraph 11) invited GNSS and augmentation providers to consider establishing an international committee on GNSS in order to maximize the benefits of the use and applications of GNSS to support sustainable development.
- In December 2005 at a meeting convened by the UN Office for Outer Space Affairs in Vienna, interested Governments, intergovernmental and nongovernmental organizations present at the meeting agreed to establish the ICG.



5th FIG Regional Conference - Accra Ghana

37



International Committee on GNSS

- UN Office for Outer Space Affairs in Vienna will continue to act as the focal point for the ICG.
- I will represent FIG on ICG.



5th FIG Regional Conference - Accra Ghana

38



Com 5 – Working Groups

- **WG 5.1 Standards, Quality Assurance and Calibration**
- **WG 5.2 Reference Frame in Practice**
- **WG 5.3 Integrated Positioning, Navigation and Mapping Systems**
 - Sub-Group on Next Generation Global Navigation Satellite Systems
- **WG 5.4 Low Cost Technology and Techniques for Developing Countries (Joint with Com 3 and 7)**


5th FIG Regional Conference - Accra Ghana

39



By 2010...

- GPS Modernization – 3 civilian signals for 10 sats (Block IIF), all 8 Block IIR-M sats.
- Mixed generations of GPS & GLONASS, can old Rx track new L2C signal in codeless mode? When will new Rx stop tracking "old" signals?
- Europe's GALILEO FOC by 2008-2010
- Some SBAS sats, e.g. Japan's QZSS
- No full triple-frequency overlap amongst all GNSSs, have to use combined processing (as in GPS/GLONASS now)
- Unclear situation re GALILEO tracking of all frequencies
- Getting "inside" GALILEO - partnerships with Regional Elements?
- CORS networks, from "Ad-Hoc" to "Infrastructure"
- 2010 GNSS should have 50+ satellites giving:
 - Surveying initialisation for cm accuracy in 1 sec
 - Urban canyon availability 80% (up from 15%)
 - Premium GALILEO Commercial Service 0.1m from handheld Rx




5th FIG Regional Conference - Accra Ghana

40



By 2015...

- GPS Modernization – FOC 3 civilian signals for >24 sats.
- Triple-freq GPS FOC 5 yrs after GALILEO's
- No more Block IIA/IIR sats.
- Half the GPS-III satellites launched
- New generation of GLONASS satellites launched
- GALILEO upgrade satellites launched
- More SBAS sats., e.g. Japan's QZSS, India's GAGAN, China's?
- No full triple-frequency overlap amongst all GNSSs, but combined processing possible (as in GPS/GLONASS now)
- Mature partnerships with Regional & Local Elements - less dense CORS infrastructures?



5th FIG Regional Conference - Accra Ghana

41



NextGen GNSS: Some User Issues...

- Towards more availability, efficiency and reliability:
 - L1+L2 Rx & processing less complicated - cheaper Rx?
 - L1+L2+L5 will give better accuracy, efficiency & reliability.
 - GLONASS has demonstrated advantage of extra sats/signals.
 - GALILEO will add all of this again, and more.
- Concerns:
 - Cost of upgrade to take advantage of new developments.
 - Mixed generations of GPS/GLONASS for many years.
 - There are many DF & TF combinations possible, but quality & reliability will be variable unless "pure" TF positioning possible.
 - What choice of Rx will there be? How will I choose?
 - Can standard RTK-DGNSS operate with multi-GNSS Rx w/o paying for GALILEO's CS?

5th FIG Regional Conference - Accra Ghana

42



NextGen GNSS: Some CORS Issues...

- Concerns:
 - Cost to upgrade CORS networks to handle all GNSS signals.
 - Mixed generations of GPS/GLONASS for many years, *how to support legacy systems?*
 - CORS networks are geodetic infrastructure, *but could also support GALILEO "local/regional element".*
 - Can CORS networks supporting RTK-DGNSS operate without paying for GALILEO's CS?
 - How will current Service Providers compete with the Concessionaire & Commercial Service?... Partnering?
 - What is the appropriate mix of free & fee-based services?
 - The belief that there will be less need for CORS infrastructure for surveyors may be illusionary, *unless all users are forced to triple-frequency-only positioning, but then vulnerable to loss of tracking of one signal!*

5th FIG Regional Conference - Accra Ghana

43



Concluding Remarks

- GPS is already a great tool, when signal availability & measurement quality is good.
- NextGen GNSS, will have more satellites, more frequencies & more signals.
- Positioning with NextGen GNSS will be *more accurate, more efficient and more reliable*, but only if conditions are right (including CORS station spacing).
- Many unresolved issues with mixed GNSS Rxs & CORS services, *especially wrt GALILEO.*
- GALILEO's *revolutionary* commercial focus may have a greater impact than the *evolution* of NextGen GNSS.



5th FIG Regional Conference - Accra Ghana

44

