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Participants & Contributors

In an alphabetic order:

- Sagi Dalyot, Israel
- Yerach Doytsher, Israel
- Yola Georgiadou, the Netherlands
- Babis Ioannidis, Greece
- Alojz Kopacik, Slovakia
- Chrít Lemmen, the Netherlands
- Jonathan Li, Canada
- Raluca Manea, Romania
- Paul van der Molen, the Netherlands
- Hartmut Müller, Germany
- Michael J. Olsen, United States
- Frances Plimmer, United Kingdom
- Abbas Rajabifard, Australia
- Volker Schwieger, Germany
- Mohsen Kalantari Soltanieh, Australia
- Walter Timo de Vries, Germany
- Kauko Viitanen, Finland
- Jaap A. Zevenbergen, the Netherlands
Background

- The existing peer-review process for papers sent to FIG's annual conventions (working weeks and congresses) has not yet produced the desired results:
  - The level of review is not consistent - as some reviewers are not strict enough about the level of review; papers of inferior standard pass the filtering process and are accepted
  - The current process does not benefit members of the academia since their accepted publications under FIG are not quoted in the citation databases of peer-reviewed literature
- The 2015 GA had established the Task Force
Two Options were Considered

- Establishing a new scientific FIG journal with all its implications –
  - Establish an editorial board (editor-in-chief & associate-editors)
  - Initiating a printing/publishing mechanism
  - Get the approval of leading citation databases to index our journal

- Collaborating with existing geomatics journals (or publishers)
  - Establish an editorial board (editor-in-chief & associate-editors)
  - Carry out the reviewing process within FIG
  - Publish our papers in these journals
A new FIG Journal

- FIG covers too many topics to be included in one journal
- A scientific (FIG) journal which is not focusing on a specific topic might prevent potential readers to use it
- The Impact Factor of a journal is dependent on its number of readers (number of citations of its papers)
- A regular journal with 3-4 issues a year requires at least ~ 30-50 accepted papers per year
- Based on the current number of submitted (and accepted) papers in the full-peer-review channel to our annual events – this is not a reachable target
The Alternative Solution

- Collaborating with existing journals
  - To establish an international editorial board with leading figures (academic professors as well as high-level professionals).
  - The peer-review process to be carried out by the editorial board, will be rigorous with high scientific and/or professional standards.
  - The peer-review process will be transparent to these journals.
  - These journals will accept (adopt) our peer-review-process without applying their additional peer-review process.
  - The accepted papers will be published in these journals (as special issues or within their regular issues).
Contact with Journals

In an alphabetic order:

- Applied Geomatics
- Cartographica
- CaGIS
- CEUS
- Computers & Geosciences
- Geoinformatica
- Geomatica
- Geo-spatial Information Science
- Int. Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation (JAG)
- Int. Journal of Digital Earth (IJDE)
- ISPRS International Journal of Geo-Information (IJGI)
- Journal of Applied Geodesy
- Journal of Land Use Science
- Journal of Spatial Science (TJSS)
- Journal of Surveying Engineering
- Land Use Policy
- Marine Geodesy
- Surveying and Land Information Science (SaLIS)
- Survey Review (SR)
- The Cartographic Journal
- Transactions in GIS
- URISA Journal
Recommendations (1)

- Adopting the solution to publish the accepted papers during our annual working weeks and congresses in existing geomatics journals for a period of 5-10 years
- Establishing a consistent and structured peer-review mechanism
  - The editorial board will be nominated by the council and be approved by the GA.
  - The nomination of the editor-in-chief and the 3-4 associate-editors will be for a term of 4 years
  - The editorial board will have a status that is equivalent to a permanent “Task Force”.

Recommendations (2)

- The editor-in-chief and the associate-editors will be responsible to nominate 20-25 reviewers as an editorial (advisory) board
- The editorial staff (editors and editorial board) will be acting as an independent body for all purposes
- This editorial staff will abide by the rules of leading journals
  - It will handle the review process of papers sent for publication during FIG annual working weeks and congresses
  - The 10 commission will have the option to adopt this mechanism for their annual workshops
Recommendations (3)

- The current channels of “full-peer-review” & “abstract-review” for submitting papers to our annual working weeks and congresses will be kept as is.

- Papers submitted to the full-peer-review channel will be classified into 3 groups (levels):
  - Fully accepted – to be published in the international scientific journals.
  - Partial accepted – to be published as accepted papers (full-peer-review) in FIG website (as it is done for the last 8-9 years with the full-peer-review papers).
  - Rejected papers – will be reviewed again in the non-peer-review (abstract review) channel.
Recommendations (4)

- Postponing the decision of establishing a FIG Scientific Journal to a later date, perhaps for 5-10 years (to be discussed by the council during the term 2023-2026).
- To authorize the editor-in-chief and the associate-editors to reach an agreement with 5-7 journals, and determine the framework for common future activities.
- In order to reduce costs - the administrative activity of the editorial staff will be handled by FIG office (in a similar process to what was done until now toward working weeks and congresses).
Proposed Timetable

- **29.5.2017**: The Task Force activity is reported to the GA
- **31.7.2017**: Council nominates an editor-in-chief and 3-4 associate-editors
  - The 2018 GA in Istanbul have to approve the nominations
- **31.10.2017**: Editor-in-chief and associate-editors will nominate 20-25 reviewers as an editorial (advisory) board
- **31.10.2017**: The editor-in-chief and associate-editors will finalize MOUs (agreements) with 5-7 journals for mutual collaboration.
Proposed Timetable (cont.)

- **Nov. 2017**: FIG office will inform (toward the 2018 congress) all its members about -
  - The new structure of the peer-review process
  - Names of the editor-in-chief, the associate-editors and the editorial board (reviewers)
  - Names of the journals that will be publishing the accepted papers

- **May 2018**: A report by the editor-in-chief and associate-editors will be submitted to the GA during the congress in Istanbul
Thank You for Listening

Yerach Doytsher, Chair
Task Force on FIG Scientific Journal